

25 February 2023: Received 27 June 2023: Revised 05 September 2023: Accepted 03 November 2023: Available Online

www.aatcc.peerjournals.net

Research Article

Open Access

Seed quality of stored soybean (Glycine max) seeds as influenced by packaging materials and storage conditions



Meena, M. K.*, M.M.Dhanoji, M. Chandra Naik, Amaregouda, Vijaykumar K and Afshana Manik

Department of Crop Physiology, UAS, Raichur-584104 Karnataka India.

ABSTRACT

A lab experiment was carried out to study the Seed quality of stored soybean (Glycine max) seeds as influenced by packaging materials and storage conditions. Soybean seeds (JS-335) were stored in different packaging materials viz., gunny bags and high-density polythene bags and vacuum-packed bags stored at room temperature ($25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C) and cold storage ($4 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C) for a period of 18 months during 2020-21. The treatments having six combinations and consisting of different containers viz., gunny bags, high-density polythene bags, and vacuum-packed bags were replicated four times in both cold and ambient storage conditions in a completely randomized design. The results of the study revealed that the seed quality parameters viz., Seed germination, moisture content and electrical conductivity, seedling length, SVI, and seed physiological parameters such as mineral content (Cu, Mn, Zn and Mn) values were higher in vacuum-packed seeds than gunny, HDPE bags for soybean seeds stored under cold storage compared to room temperature throughout the storage period. Among the containers, the seeds stored in vacuum-packed bags maintained the seed quality and seed physiological parameters with least deterioration compared to seeds stored in gunny bags and high-density polythene bags.

Keywords: Seed quality, Moisture content, electrical conductivity, mineral content, vacuum packaging, and cold storage.

Introduction

Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merill] is one of the most important protein and oil seed crops throughout the world. Its oil is the largest component of the world's edible oils. Soybean seed contains 20 % oil and 40 % protein. The world's production of edible oils consists of 30 % soybean. It is an ingredient of more than 50% of the world's high-protein meals. The United States of America has the largest area under soybean cultivation with the highest yield and production [8]. Soybean is globally grown over an area of 91.40 m ha, with a production of 218.00 m tons and productivity of 2455 kg per ha [8].

In India soybean is grown over an area of 9.33 m ha with a production of 9.50 m tons and productivity of 1089 kg per ha which is much below the average productivity of the world (2455 kg/ha). The major soybean-producing states are Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Karnataka, Uttar Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, and Gujarat. In Karnataka state also, soybean is becoming popular as an oil seed crop. The increase in area was from 0.16 lakh ha during 1991-92 to 1.82 lakh ha during 2019-20 with a production of 1.94 lakh tons and productivity of 1025 kg per ha which is less than the average productivity (1188 kg/ha) of India [8]. Soybean has many commercial applications due to which there has been an increasing demand for seeds to satisfy the expanding producer and consumer market.

*Corresponding Author: **Meena, M. K** Email Address: **meenam4565@gmail.com**

DOI: https://doi.org/10.58321/AATCCReview.2023.11.04.288 © 2023 by the authors. The license of AATCC Review. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Poor germination and low seed viability are among the serious problems limiting the production of soybean. Storage of seeds at least for the next sowing season is an essential concern of the seed industry.

In agriculture, seed is a vehicle to deliver almost all agro-based technological innovations so that the farmers can exploit the genetic potential of new varieties. The availability, access, and use of seeds of adaptable varieties are, therefore, the major determinants to attain the efficiency and productivity of other packages like irrigation, fertilizers and pesticides. This is one of the vital keys to increasing crop production, enhancing food security and alleviating rural poverty in developing countries. Research on the storability of seeds in India is of recent origin with the development of organized seed production and marketing. It is stipulated that 80 percent of certified seeds produced in India require storage for one planting season and 20 percent of seeds is carried over for subsequent sowing [9]. However, when awareness and infrastructure is developed, a substantial quantity of seeds can be stored for few planting seasons as a safeguard against monsoon failure and as a precaution against the production of poor-quality seeds. Seed deterioration has been ascribed to physical, physiological, biochemical, and pathological detrimental changes occurring in seeds leading to death and has been characterized as inexorable, irreversible, inevitable, and minimal at the time of physiological maturity and variable among kinds of seeds, varieties, and seed lots [9]. The poor storability of the seeds of soybean is accounted for its high oil content, physiological fragility, and thin seed coat, which leads to rapid loss of viability and vigor in storage, which in turn results in the poor establishment of the crop in the field and low productivity. Research concerning to these aspects is very meager. Keeping above these aspects in view and considering their importance in maintaining viability for longer

period the present investigation was carried out study the seed physiological and biochemical parameters of soybean *(Glycine max)* as Influence by different packaging materials and storage conditions.

Materials and methods

A storage experiment was carried out for a period of 12 months i.e. from October-2021 to October-2022 at the Department of Crop Physiology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur. In this research work, seeds of the soybean variety i.e. JS-335 collected from APMC, Raichur were dried under sun and stored under different storage conditions and containers. The temperature maintained in the cold storage was around (4 °C ± 1°C) and relative humidity was 85 to 90 per cent throughout the storage period while, for ambient storage, bags were stored in the laboratory at room temperature (25 ± 2 °C). Soybean seeds were packed in 500 g vacuum-packed bags and 15 kg to gunny and high-density polythene bags. After packaging of all the seeds in different containers, 50 % bags were stored properly in the iron racks without stacking so that all the bags were uniformly exposed to the particular treatment condition; while 50 % bags were stored under cold storage. The treatments having six combinations and consisting of different containers viz., gunny bags, high-density polythene bags and vacuumpacked bags were replicated four times in both cold and ambient storage conditions in a completely randomized design. The observations on various seed physiological parameters viz., electrical conductivity [58], and the per cent moisture were obtained by using MB 45 Halogen Moisture Analyzer from Ohaus, USA, while estimation of mineral content i.e. Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn), Iron (Fe) and Manganese (Mn) contents in seed was estimated using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS-4141, Electronic Corporation of India Ltd.), respectively at bimonthly interval upto18 months. The analysis and interpretation of the experimental data were done as suggested by [57] with a level of significance used as P = 0.01.

Results and discussion Influence on seed quality parameters

Germination (%)

It was revealed from the data (Table 1), that the containers used for storage had a significant effect on soybean seed germination during all the periods of storage. At the beginning of storage, the seed germination was 94.37 percent. Up to 3 months of storage no significant differences between storage container and storage conditions. However, it goes on decreasing with the advancement of storage periods up to 12 months. Regardless of cold storage or room temperature, vacuum-packed seeds maintained a good germination rate throughout the storage process, even up to 12 months. At the end of the storage period (12 months), the germination rate was above 91.88 percent, which is much greater than the minimum seed certification criterion. In cold storage, seeds stored in cloth bags, HDPE bags, and aluminum bags could maintain minimal seed certification criteria for up to 10 to 12 months (75.06, 77.67, and 77.01 %) respectively While seeds stored in cloth bags, HDPE bags, and aluminum bags at room temperature could only be kept up to 8 to 10 months (74.11, 77.11 and 76.14 %), respectively. At the end of 12 months, the vacuum-packed bags held in cold storage had the highest germination rate (91.88 %), followed by vacuumpacked bags stored in ambient storage (91.65 %). Both, however, did not differ much from one another. Significantly lower germination percent (66.53 %) was observed in cloth bags followed by HDPE bags (68.45 %) stored under ambient storage, which was significantly lower compared to all other treatments. The results show that seed storability is greater in cold storage in cloth, HDPE and aluminum bags than in roomtemperature storage. The vacuum-packed seeds can be stored for up to 12 months at room temperature or in cold storage. This is mainly because of the maintenance of lower moisture content (8.52 %) during the storage period. Containers *viz.*, aluminum foil and polyethylene acted as moisture-proof barriers. This is evidenced by lower moisture content (8.52 %) in the seeds packed in vacuum packed seeds. This lower moisture content resulted in a lower respiration rate, lower metabolic activity. and maintenance of higher seed vigour during storage. This is in accordance with the findings of [73] in onion, cabbage, radish, cauliflower, okra, pea and [38] in onion, [70] in sorghum, [65] in groundnut and [68] in sunflower.

Table 1. Influence of packaging and storage conditions on germination (%) at different time intervals of storage in soybean seeds

Treatments			Stora	ge period (m	onths)		
Treatments	0	2	4	6	8	10	12
T ₁ - Cloth bag (RT)	94.37	91.73	90.34	83.42	74.11	69.85	66.53
T ₂ - Cloth bag (CS)	94.37	92.37	90.33	88.68	81.54	75.06	70.75
T ₃ - HDPE (RT)	94.37	92.38	91.06	86.98	77.59	70.92	68.42
T ₄ - HDPE (CS)	94.37	93.22	91.96	89.37	82.62	77.67	71.73
T ₅ - Aluminium bag (RT)	94.37	93.36	92.23	90.45	85.55	76.14	74.18
T ₆ - Aluminium bag (CS)	94.37	93.48	92.18	91.31	88.01	79.29	77.01
T ₇ - Vaccume bag (RT)	94.37	94.27	94.16	93.61	92.90	91.72	91.65
T ₈ - Vaccume bag (CS)	94.37	94.33	94.27	93.99	93.12	92.25	91.88
S.Em(±)	0.50	0.63	0.55	0.54	0.44	0.61	0.49
C. D. (1%)	NS	NS	1.66	1.65	1.33	1.86	1.49

HDPE - High density polythene CS - Cold storage NS - Non significant RT - Room temperature

2. Moisture content (%)

The moisture content (%) of soybean seeds presented in Table 2 indicated significant differences between the treatments at all the stages of storage upto 12months except at the initial stages (i.e., 0 months). In general, these was no change in the moisture content of vacuum-packed as well as aluminium-packed seeds during storage for 18 months however there was a slight decline in the moisture

content with progress in the storage time. More fluctuations were seen in the moisture content of soybean seeds stored in cloth bags, irrespective of storage at room temperature or cold storage throughout the storage period.

Among the different packaging materials used in the study, the seeds packed in a vacuum packed bag recorded significantly lower moisture content (9.40 %) which was on par with aluminum bag(11.9 %) and the highest is seeds were packed in cloth bag (15.9%). But better storability of seeds in vacuum packed bag compared to aluminum bag, cloth bags and HDPE bags could be ascribed to the fact that the seeds stored in vacuum polythene bag are moisture impervious and had got very less fluctuations in their moisture content which is a very important factor in maintaining viability of seeds during storage. Lower moisture content of seeds results in lower respiration rate, lower metabolic activity and maintenance of higher seed vigor during storage. This is in accordance with the findings of [64] in French beans. Similar results are also reported by [17] in shelled peanuts, [41] in wheat, [61] in groundnut kernels, [64 in chilli], [32] in soybean, [67] in chill powder, [68] in whole chilli and [46] in soybean storability for a longer period.

Table 2. Influence of packaging and storage conditions on moisture content (%) at different time intervals of storage in soybean seeds

Treatments			Sto	rage period	(months)		
Treatments	0	2	4	6	8	10	12
T ₁ - Cloth bag (RT)	9.30	14.6	14.9	15.1	15.3	15.7	15.9
T ₂ - Cloth bag (CS)	9.30	13.2	13.8	14.6	14.7	14.9	15.0
T ₃ - HDPE (RT)	9.30	11.4	13.7	14.4	14.6	14.7	14.9
T ₄ - HDPE (CS)	9.30	11.5	12.8	13.1	13.4	13.6	13.8
T ₅ - Aluminium bag (RT)	9.30	10.6	11.9	12.3	12.6	12.8	12.9
T ₆ - Aluminium bag (CS)	9.30	10.8	10.9	11.1	11.5	11.8	11.9
T ₇ - Vaccume bag (RT)	9.30	9.36	9.33	9.31	9.32	9.33	9.35
T ₈ - Vaccume bag (CS)	9.30	9.41	9.37	9.34	9.35	9.38	9.40
S.Em(±)	0.20	0.16	0.13	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.23
C. D. (1%)	NS	0.45	0.36	0.22	0.25	0.38	0.65

HDPE - High density polythene CS - Cold storage NS - Non significant RT - Room temperature

Electrical conductivity (d Sm⁻¹)

The data pertaining to electrical conductivity (d Sm-1) of soybean seeds as influenced by different packaging and storage conditions indicated significant differences upto 12 months of storage period (Table 3). At initial stage (i.e., up to 4 months) there were no significant differences between the treatments but slight increase in electrical conductivity precisely from 4 months onwards and until upto 12months of storage observed in cloth bag seeds stored at room temperature followed by cold storage. While the increase in electrical conductivity of vacuumpacked seeds was much lower than in aluminium-packed seeds at all the stages of storage. At 12 months of storage, significantly higher electrical conductivity values (0.595 and 0.570 dSm-1) were recorded in cloth bag seeds kept at room temperature and cold storage. While significantly lower electrical conductivity values (0.525 and 0.520 d Sm-1) were recorded in vacuumpacked seeds at cold storage and at room temperature followed by aluminium-packed seeds (0.583 and 0.566 d Sm-1), respectively. The similar trend was continued at all the stages of storage months. It is clear from the results that the vacuumpacked seeds could maintain lower electrical conductivity compared to aluminium bags followed by cloth bags during the storage. Vacuum-packed seeds stored in cold storage and room

temperature did not show significant differences with each other. While, the cloth bags and the aluminum bags differed significantly to each other throughout the storage period. The values of electrical conductivity of seeds stored different in all the containers at cold storage were recorded lesser than those stored at room temperature throughout the storage period. The electrical conductivity was significantly lower in seeds stored in vacuum-sealed containers followed by aluminum bags and was higher in cloth bags and HDPE bags throughout the storage period. Higher electrical conductivity values recorded in seeds stored in gunny bags and cloth may be due to higher levels of seed deterioration on account of age induced membrane damage of various cell and cell organelles or degradation or disruption of cell membranes leading to subsequent loss of membrane integrity [80] in bittiergourd and [72] in groundnut seeds .At 12 months of storage, vacuum-packed bags and aluminium foil showed significantly lower electrical conductivity, while significantly higher electrical conductivity was found in cloth bags and HDPE bags stored under both ambient and cold storage. Similar results were obtained by [12] and [60] in rice, [53] in brinjal seeds, [39] in cucumbers, [48] in groundnut, [76] in soybean. Similar results were obtained by Biradar Patil et al. (1999) and Raiker et al. (2011) in rice.

Table 3. Influence of packaging and storage conditions on electrical conductivity (dSm-1) at different time intervals of storage in soybean seeds

Treatments	Storage period (months)								
rreatments	0	2	4	6	8	10	12		
T ₁ - Cloth bag (RT)	0.496	0.505	0.521	0.525	0.528	0.540	0.595		
T ₂ - Cloth bag (CS)	0.496	0.502	0.519	0.520	0.521	0.532	0.570		
T ₃ - HDPE (RT)	0.496	0.511	0.516	0.522	0.524	0.536	0.591		
T ₄ - HDPE (CS)	0.496	0.508	0.513	0.519	0.520	0.531	0.572		
T ₅ - Aluminium bag (RT)	0.496	0.513	0.515	0.520	0.521	0.533	0.583		

T ₆ - Aluminium bag (CS)	0.496	0.510	0.511	0.517	0.518	0.529	0.566
T ₇ - Vaccume bag (RT)	0.496	0.504	0.507	0.513	0.519	0.521	0.525
T ₈ - Vaccume bag (CS)	0.496	0.502	0.505	0.510	0.516	0.517	0.520
S.Em(±)	0.017	0.037	0.064	0.005	0.008	0.007	0.001
C. D. (1%)	NS	NS	NS	0.014	0.024	0.021	0.003

HDPE - High density polythene CS - Cold storage NS - Non significant RT - Room temperature

Total seedling length (cm)

The data on total seedling length (cm) of seedlings due to the influence of different packaging materials and storage conditions during the storage period is presented in Table 4. Total seedling length (cm) of soybean seeds differed significantly between thetreatments and reduced from 4 months onwards until up to 12 months of storage incloth bag seeds. The decline in total seedling lengthof vacuum packed seeds was much slower than in high-density polythene and aluminum bag seeds at all thestages of storage. Significantly lower total seedling length values (32.25 and 32.58 cm) were recorded in cloth bag seeds kept under room temperature and cold storage, respectively at 4 months of storage. While, the significantly higher values (33.22 and 33.37 cm) were recorded in vacuum packed seeds stored under cold storage room temperature and cold storage followed by aluminium-packed seeds (32.87 and 33.07 cm) and high-density polythene seeds (32.59 and 32.81 cm), respectively. A similar trend continued at all the stages of storage upto 12 months.

At the end of storage period, vacuum-packed seeds recorded

significantly highervalues (32.26 and 32.81 cm) kept under cold storage and room temperature, respectively compared to aluminum bag seeds (29.58 and 29.99 cm), high-density polythene seeds (27.24 and 29.62 cm) and cloth bags (29.58 and 29.99 cm). It is clear from the results that the vacuum packed seeds could maintain higher value compared to aluminum bags followed by high-density polythene and cloth bags throughout the storage period. It is also clear that within the treatments, there was no significant difference in vacuum packed seeds either stored under cold storage or room temperature; while the cloth bags, high density polyethylene and aluminum bags differed significantly with each other throughout the storage period. The reduction in total seedling length is always greater in moisture-pervious containers like cloth bag and high density polythene bag, which is mainly due to increased seed deterioration. Decline in total seedling length during storage were also recorded by other workers [69] in paddy; [75] . Similarly, the superiority of moisture-impervious containers in producing longer root length and shoot length of groundnut seedlings has been reported by [40] in grount seeds and [18] in greengram.

Table 4. Influence of packaging and storage conditions on total seedling length (cm) at different time intervals of storage in soybean seeds

Treatments			Stor	age period	(months)		
Treatments	0	2	4	6	8	10	12
T ₁ - Cloth bag (RT)	33.43	32.41	32.25	31.19	29.66	28.41	26.68
T ₂ - Cloth bag (CS)	33.43	32.73	32.58	31.33	30.58	29.36	28.66
T ₃ - HDPE (RT)	33.43	32.89	32.59	31.54	30.27	28.10	27.24
T ₄ - HDPE (CS)	33.43	33.00	32.81	31.81	30.83	30.25	29.62
T ₅ - Aluminium bag (RT)	33.43	33.01	32.87	31.85	30.90	30.36	29.58
T ₆ - Aluminium bag (CS)	33.43	33.22	33.07	32.14	31.64	30.74	29.99
T ₇ - Vaccume bag (RT)	33.43	33.26	33.22	32.93	32.65	32.54	32.26
T ₈ - Vaccume bag (CS)	33.43	33.46	33.37	33.17	33.12	32.94	32.81
S.Em(±)	0.29	0.22	0.13	0.04	0.08	0.12	0.05
C. D. (1%)	NS	NS	NS	0.87	0.94	0.48	0.33

HDPE - High density polythene CS - Cold storage NS - Non significant RT - Room temperature

Seedling vigor index (SVI)

The influence of different packaging and storage conditions on the seedling vigour index indicated significant differences between the treatments (Table 5). The decline in seedling vigour index from 4 months onwards and until upto 12 months of storage in cloth bag seeds. Further, the decline in seedling vigour index of vacuum-packed seeds was much lesser followed by aluminium packed seeds and high density polythene at all the stages of storage. At 4 months of storage, significantly lower seedling vigour index (2913.51 and 2942.84) was recorded in cloth bag seeds stored under either room temperature or cold storage. While significantly higher seedling vigour index (3127.88 and 3148.68) was recorded in vacuum packed seeds stored under room temperature and cold storage followed by aluminium-packed seeds (3031.67 and 3048.45) and high-density polythene (2967.50 and 3008.24), respectively. At the end of storage period, vacuum packed seeds recorded significantly higher seedling vigour index (3002.12 and 2916.22) kept at cold storage and room temperature compared to aluminum bags (2639.53 and 2490.18) as well ashigh density polythene (2124.78 and 1863.81) and cloth bags (2027.60 and 1775.11), respectively. It is clear from the results that the vacuum-packed seeds could be maintained a higher seedling vigour index compared to aluminum bags followed byhigh density polythene and cloth bags the whole time of the storage period. It is also clear from the results that no significant differences were found between vacuum packed treatment, while clothbags, high-density polythene bags and aluminum bags differed significantly with each other throughout the storage period. Similarly, the superiority of moisture-impervious containers in producing longer root length and shoot length of groundnut seedlings has been reported by [40] in grount seeds and [18] in greengram.

Table 5. Influence of packaging and storage conditions on seedling vigor index (SVI) at different time intervals of storage in soybean seeds

Treatments			Stora	ge period (mo	onths)		
Treatments	0	2	4	6	8	10	12
T ₁ - Cloth bag (RT)	3154.68	2972.97	2913.51	2601.77	2286.79	2012.75	1775.11
T ₂ - Cloth bag (CS)	3154.68	3023.16	2942.84	2778.38	2492.27	2203.76	2027.60
T ₃ - HDPE (RT)	3154.68	3038.38	2967.50	2743.30	2255.12	1992.95	1863.81
T ₄ - HDPE (CS)	3154.68	3076.37	3008.24	2842.75	2546.56	2349.52	2124.78
T ₅ - Aluminium bag (RT)	3154.68	3081.81	3031.67	2880.73	2765.55	2615.11	2490.18
T ₆ - Aluminium bag (CS)	3154.68	3105.41	3048.45	2934.81	2847.92	2744.67	2639.53
T ₇ - Vaccume bag (RT)	3154.68	3135.42	3127.88	3049.54	3033.19	2948.77	2916.22
T ₈ - Vaccume bag (CS)	3154.68	3154.05	3148.68	3094.43	3053.33	3029.74	3002.12
S.Em(±)	34.5	25.6	18.7	29.3	25.1	19.5	20.4
C. D. (1%)	NS	NS	NS	33.3	34.6	50.1	51.4

HDPE - High density polythene CS - Cold storage NS - Non significant RT - Room temperature

Mineral content (Cu, Zn, Fe, and Mn (mg/kg))

The observation on mineral content (mg/g) of soybean seeds as influenced by different packaging and storage conditions (Table 6, 7, 8 and 9) exhibited significant differences at all the stage period. There was a decline in Cu, Zn, Fe and Mn content from 4th months and continued upto 12 months of storage in cloth, HDPE and aluminium bag seeds stored under room temperature. On the other hand, the decline in mineral content of vacuum-packed seeds was much lesser and slower than in cloth, HDPE and aluminiumbag seeds at all the stages ofstorage either kept under cold storage or room temperature. Among the treatments, the copper content did not differ significantly upto 10 months of storage but at end the of storage upto 12 months; a significant difference was observed in all the treatments irrespective of cold storage or room temperature. At 12 months of storage, significantly lower mineral content Cu (11.89 and 12.10), Zn(44.01 and 45.03), Fe(101.01 and 101.23) and Mn (26.11 and 26.21) mg/kg) was recorded in cloth bag seeds kept under room temperature and cold storage. Significantly higher

mineral content (Cu (13.12 and 13.16), Zn(45.15 and 45.21), Fe(102.17 and 102.24) and Mn (26.90 and 26.93) mg/kg)were recorded in vacuum packed seeds stored under cold storage and room temperature. Variations in the mineral contents between the packaging materials could be attributed to the redistribution of mineral elements in seeds and possible microbial contamination [14]. In the polythene bags mineral content values were higher than cloth and gunny bags, but it was lower than vacuum packed bags. Same results were obtained by [28] in groundnut, [68], in melon seeds, [25] in cocoyam chips, [43] and [22] in chilli. Higher mineral contents during cold storage compared to ambient storage could be attributed due to lower internal physiological and biochemical processes in the seed thereby prolonging the shelf life of seeds during storage. Higher micronutrients in vacuum-packed bags compared to gunny bags, may be due to a decrease in ash content [35] Similar findings were also reported in shelled melon seeds [39] millet seeds [26] okra [27] and greenbean [57] in apple fruits seeds.

Table 6. Influence of packaging and storage conditions on copper content (Cu, mg/kg) at different time intervals of storage in soybean seeds

Treatments			Stor	age period	(months)		
rreatments	0	2	4	6	8	10	12
T ₁ - Cloth bag (RT)	13.61	12.23	12.01	11.97	11.93	11.92	11.89
T ₂ - Cloth bag (CS)	13.61	12.46	12.23	12.20	12.18	12.14	12.10
T ₃ - HDPE (RT)	13.61	12.39	12.16	12.14	12.09	12.03	12.01
T ₄ - HDPE (CS)	13.61	12.65	12.43	12.38	12.31	12.26	12.23
T ₅ - Aluminium bag (RT)	13.61	13.10	12.63	12.61	12.59	12.52	12.42
T ₆ - Aluminium bag (CS)	13.61	13.21	12.71	12.68	12.60	12.55	12.49
T ₇ - Vaccume bag (RT)	13.61	13.51	13.40	13.31	13.22	13.18	13.12
T ₈ - Vaccume bag (CS)	13.61	13.57	13.45	13.37	13.26	13.21	13.16
S.Em(±)	0.11	0.46	0.48	0.67	0.76	0.36	0.45
C. D. (1%)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.04	1.29

HDPE - High density polythene CS - Cold storage NS - Non significant RT - Room temperature

Table 7. Influence of packaging and storage conditions on iron content (Fe, mg/kg) at different time intervals of storage in soybean seeds

Treatments	Storage period (months)							
rreatments	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	
T ₁ - Cloth bag (RT)	102.92	101.42	101.10	101.07	101.05	101.03	101.01	
T ₂ - Cloth bag (CS)	102.92	101.72	101.49	101.38	101.31	101.28	101.23	

T ₃ - HDPE (RT)	102.92	101.48	101.20	101.18	101.15	101.14	101.06
T ₄ - HDPE (CS)	102.92	101.65	101.32	101.23	101.19	101.17	101.11
T ₅ - Aluminium bag (RT)	102.92	101.92	101.30	101.27	101.23	101.20	101.15
T ₆ - Aluminium bag (CS)	102.92	102.10	101.97	101.68	101.55	101.49	101.33
T ₇ - Vaccume bag (RT)	102.92	102.43	102.34	102.27	102.22	101.20	102.17
T ₈ - Vaccume bag (CS)	102.92	102.51	102.41	102.35	102.30	102.28	102.24
S.Em(±)	0.41	0.58	0.65	0.78	0.05	0.07	0.06
C. D. (1%)	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.16	0.22	0.17

HDPE - High density polythene CS - Cold storage NS - Non significant RT - Room temperature

Table 8. Influence of packaging and storage conditions on magnesium content (Mg, mg/kg) at different time intervals of storage in soybean seeds

Treatments			Stora	ge period (m	onths)		
Treatments	0	2	4	6	8	10	12
T ₁ - Cloth bag (RT)	27.27	26.92	26.51	26.31	26.22	26.14	26.11
T ₂ - Cloth bag (CS)	27.27	27.10	26.60	26.40	26.31	26.28	26.21
T ₃ - HDPE (RT)	27.27	27.01	26.67	26.52	26.49	26.41	26.38
T ₄ - HDPE (CS)	27.27	27.11	26.69	26.58	26.52	26.49	26.41
T5- Aluminium bag (RT)	27.27	27.20	27.10	27.00	26.92	26.88	26.79
T ₆ - Aluminium bag (CS)	27.27	27.21	27.11	27.03	27.01	26.91	26.83
T ₇ - Vaccume bag (RT)	27.27	27.23	27.19	27.11	27.01	26.95	26.90
T ₈ - Vaccume bag (CS)	27.27	27.25	27.21	27.17	27.04	27.01	26.93
S.Em(±)	0.18	0.33	0.31	0.34	0.37	0.40	0.04
C. D. (1%)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.12

HDPE - High density polythene CS - Cold storage NS - Non significant RT - Room temperature

Table 10. Influence of packaging and storage conditions on zinc content (Zn, mg/kg) at different time intervals of storage in soybean seeds

Treatments			Stor	age period	Storage period (months)									
rreatments	0	2	4	6	8	10	12							
T ₁ - Cloth bag (RT)	46.22	45.51	44.23	44.19	44.11	44.07	44.01							
T ₂ - Cloth bag (CS)	46.22	45.89	45.46	45.28	45.20	45.15	45.03							
T ₃ - HDPE (RT)	46.22	45.63	44.89	44.47	44.39	44.21	44.14							
T ₄ - HDPE (CS)	46.22	45.91	45.15	44.98	44.84	44.62	44.55							
T ₅ - Aluminium bag (RT)	46.22	46.10	45.41	44.27	44.20	44.18	44.05							
T ₆ - Aluminium bag (CS)	46.22	46.15	45.56	45.33	45.22	45.16	45.13							
T ₇ - Vaccume bag (RT)	46.22	46.16	45.83	45.71	45.37	45.21	45.15							
T ₈ - Vaccume bag (CS)	46.22	46.20	45.91	45.78	45.54	45.33	45.21							
S.Em(±)	0.36	0.55	0.59	0.53	0.71	0.73	0.17							
C. D. (1%)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.50							

HDPE - High density polythene CS - Cold storage NS - Non significant RT - Room temperature

Conclusion

Seed physiological and biochemical parameters deterioration is an inexorable and irreversible process. The quality and viability of soybean seeds are subjected to variations during storage conditions and it has been found that the life span of seeds depends on the moisture content of the seeds, relative humidity, temperature, light, and oxygen content under which the seeds are stored. It has been found in the present study that it is possible to extend the shelf life of soybean seed's up to 18 months without deterioration in seed quality parameter's viz., seed germination , EC, moisture content, seedling length, SVI, while seed physiological parameters such as mineral content (Cu, Mn, Zn and Mn), moisture content and electrical conductivity by storing them under vacuum packaging. Since

seed is an important input in agriculture which determines not only the production but also the productivity, it is essential to maintain the seed quality as well as seed vigor.

Future scope of study: The strategies for future research and development to improve the investigation on influence of vacuum packaging on seed physiology and quality of soybean crops has thrown light on several aspects that need to be worked at in detail in the future. To enumerate the more probable ones; the storage studies can further be extended beyond 18 months to know the influence of vacuum packaging and storage conditions on other field crop seeds *viz.*, vegetables, pulses, oil seeds under both ambient and cold storage. There is a scope for evaluating some more storage containers, which are

domestically available in rural areas such as earthen pots, polythene lined gunny bags and also similar storage studies may be conducted on other agricultural as well as on horticultural crops. Vacuum packaging technology has huge potential and therefore it needs to be explored for different dehydrated products, food grains and other dry spices and food products, so that post harvest losses can be minimized to a greater extent and food hygiene can be maintained. Studies can also be directed towards the use of oxygen scavenger films while packing and its influence on long term storage in different food commodities. There is need to study the combined effect of vacuum packaging and use of oxygen scavengers. Measures to eliminate oxygen from the pack may be taken up. The use of active packaging methods like use of oxygen absorbents may be resorted to. The study has revealed that to oxidation occurred in vacuum packed bags to a similar extent. It is therefore imperative that work needs to be taken upto to quantify the amount of oxygen as well as carbon dioxide in the package, so that appropriate measures can be taken to avoid oxidation.

Declaration of conflicting interests: The author(s) declared that there is no conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this research article.

Acknowledgement: The authors are highly thankful to the Directorate of Research, UAS, Raichur for providing financial grants under SCSP-TSP and in a similar way to the Director of Research, UAS, Raichur for all facilities and infrastructure and shown his keen interest to carry out the research work smoothly.

Authors' Contribution: All authors had direct participation in the execution of the experiment, writing the paper script, and adequate review of this research article.

References

- 1. Abdul-Baki, A.A. and Anderson, J. D., 1973, Vigour determination in soybean by multiple criteria. *Crop Sci.*, 13: 630-633
- 2. Agrawal, S.C. and Kharlukhi, R.K., 1987, Fungal pathogens detected on soybean seeds grown in different localities. *Seed Res.*, 17 (2): 208-210.
- 3. Agrawal, S.C. and Kharlukhi, R.K., 1987, Fungal pathogens detected on soybeanseeds grown in different localities. *Seed Res.*, 17 (2): 208-210.
- 4. Akowuah, J.O., Addo, A. and Kemausuor, F., 2012, Influence of storage duration of *Jatropha curcas* seed on oil yield and free fatty acid content. *ARPNJ. Agric. Biol. Sci.*, 7 (1): 1-5.
- 5. Alinnor, I.J. and Akalezi C.O., 2010, Proximate and mineral composition of *Discorea rotundata* (White yam) and *Colocasia esculentus* (white cocoyam). *Pakistan J. Nutrition*, 9 (10): 998 1001.
- 6. Alsadon, A., Yule, L. J. and Powell, A. A., 1995, Influence of seed aging on the germination, vigor and emergence in module trays of tomato and cucumber seeds. *Seed Sci. Technol.*, 23(3): 665-672.

- 7. Ankaiah, R., Manohar Reddy, N., Radhika, K. and Meena Kumari, K. V. S., 2006, Effect of containers on storability of tomato seed (*Lycopersicon esculentumL*.) under ambient condition. *Proc: XII National Seed Seminar at ANGRAU*, Hyderabad during 24-26 Feb., p. 60.
- 8. Anonymous, 2020, Area, production and productivity of crops during 2020-21. Http://Www. Rkmp. Co. In/crops-Stats/Statewise-Statistics/Karnataka.
- 9. Anonymous, 2020, Area, production and productivity of crops during 2006-07 to 2020-21. Http://Www. Rkmp. Co. In/crops-Stats/Statewise-Statistics/ Karnataka
- 10. Asha, A. M., 2012, Effect of plant products and containers on storage potential of maize cv. Arjun. *M. Sc. (Agri) Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka.
- 11. Balasubramanyam, N., Baldevaraj and Indiramma, A. R., 1983, Packaging and storage quality of roasted and salted, spiced peanuts in flexible packages. *Perfectpac.*, 23(1): 5-11.
- 12. Biradar Patil, N. K., 1999, Techniques for seed production and storage of Karnataka rice Hybrid-2. *Ph. D. Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka, India.
- 13. Biradar, B. S., 2001, Influence of pre-harvest insecticidal spray on seed yield andquality and post harvest seed treatment on storability of grenegram (*Vignaradiata* (l.) wilczek.). *M.Sc.* (*Agri.*) *Thesis*, Univ. Agril. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka, India.
- 14. Bognar, A., Bohling, H. and Fort, H., 1990, Nutrient retention in chilled foods. In: Gormley T. R. (Ed) *Chilled foods. Elsevier Applied Sci.*, London, pp. 305–336.
- 15. Branimir Simic, Ruza Popovic, Aleksandra Sudaric, Vlatka Rozman, Irma Kalinovic and Jasenka Cosic, 2007, Influence of storage conditions on seed oil content of maize, soyabean and sunflower. *Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus*. 72(3): 211-213.
- 16. Canakci, M., 2007, The potential of restaurant waste lipids as biodiesel feed stocks. *Bioresource Technology*, 98(1):183-190.
- 17. Chaitanya, K. S., Keshavkant, S. and Naithani, S. C., 2000, Changes in total protein and protease activity in dehydrating recalcitrant sal (*Shorea robusta*) seeds. *Silva Fennica*, 34 (1):71–77.
- 18. Chandran, S., 2000, Efficacy of various grain protectants againstpulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) infesting green gram, *Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek. *M.Sc.* (*Agri.*) *Thesis*, CCS Haryana Agric. Univ., Hisar, India. pp:1-52.
- 19. Chauhan, D. S., Deswal, D. P., Dahiyaand, O. S. and Punia, R. C., 2011, Changesin storage enzymes activities in natural and accelerated aged seed ofwheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 81 (11):1037–1040.

- 20. Chiu, K. Y., Chem, C. L. and Sung, J. M., 2003, Partial vacuum storage improvethe longevity of primed Sh-2 sweet corn seeds. *Sci Hort.*, 98(2):99–111.
- 21. Davis, B. D., 1977, Occurrence of alpha-amylase in the axis of germinating peas. *Plant Physiol.*, 60: 513-517.
- 22. Deepa, G. T., Chetti, M. B., Mahadev, C., Khetagoudar and Gopal M. A., 2011, Influence of vacuum packaging on seed quality and mineral contents inchilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *J Food Sci Tech.*, DOI 10.1007/s13197-011-0241-3.
- 23. Delouche, J. C., Mathews, R. K. Dougherty, G. M. and Boyd, A. H., 1973, Storage of seeds in tropical regions. *Seed Sci. Technol.*, 1:671-700.
- 24. Dhaliwal, Y. S., Sekhon, K. S. and Nagi, H. P. S., 1991, Enzymatic activities and pheological properties of stored rice. *Cereal Chem.*, 68:18–21.
- 25. Echendu, A. O., Obizoba, I. C., Ngwu, E. K. and Anyika, J. U., 2009, Chemical composition of groundbean based cocoyam, yam and plantain pottaged shes and roasted groundbean. *Pakistan J. Nutr.*, 8 (11):1786–1790.
- 26. Ellis, R. H. and Hong, T. D., 2007, Seed longevity–moisture content relationships in hermetic and open storage. Seed Sci. Technol., 35:423–431.
- 27. Eze, S.O., and Chilaka, F. C., 2010, Lipolytic activities in some species of germinating cucubitaceae: *Cucumeropsis manii naud, Colocynthis vulgaris* L. and *Cucubita moschata schrad. World J. Agric. Sci.*, 6 (6): 700-706.
- 28. Fagbohun, E. D., Lawal, O. U. and Hassan O. A., 2011, The chemical compositionand mycoflora of sun dried shelled melon seeds (*Citrullus vulgaris*) duringstorage. *Intl. Res. J. Microbiol.*, 2(8):310-314.
- 29. Ghavidel, R.A. and Davoodi, M.G., 2011, Evaluation of changes in phytase, α-amylase and protease activities of some legume seeds during germination. *International Conference on Bioscience, Biochemistry and Bioinformatics IPCBEE* 5 (2011) *IACSIT Press, Singapore*
- 30. Govind, K.G. and Tumkur K.V., 1970, Acid protease from germinated sorghum. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 17:4-12.
- 31. Guberac, S., Maric, A., Lalic, G., Drezner, Z. and Zdunic, V., 2003, Hermeticallysealed storage of cereal seeds and its influence on vigour and germination. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, 189 (1):54–56.
- 32. Gurmithsingh and Harisingh, 1992, Maintenance of germinability of soybean (*Glycine max L.*) seeds. *Seed Res.*, 20:49-50.
- 33. Huang, A.H.C., Wimer, I.T., and Y. Lin, 1983, Lipase in the lipid bodies of corn scutella during seedling growth. *Plant Physiol.*, 7: 460-466.
- 34. Jayaraman, J., 1981, *Laboratory Manual in Biochemistry*, Wiley Eastern Ltd. New Delhi, India, pp. 122-123.

- 35. Kayisoglu, S. and Demirci, M., 2006, Effect of storage time and condition on mineral contents of grape pekmez produced by vacuum and classical methods. *J. Tekirdag Agril Faculty*, 3(1):1–7
- 36. Kayisoglu, S. and Demirci, M., 2006, Effect of storage time and condition on mineralcontents of grape pekmez produced by vacuum and classical methods. *J. TekirdagAgril Faculty*, 3(1):1–7.
- 37. Khalequzzaman, K. M., M. M. Rashid M. A. Hasan and Reza, M. M. A., 2012, Effectof storage containers and storage periods on the seed quality of Frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). *Bangladesh J. Agril*. Res. 37(2): 195-205.
- 38. Krishnappa, N., Narayanaswamy, M. S., Balakrishan, P. and Lokesh, K., 2003,Influence of storage mycoflora on seed quality of groundnut (*Arachishypogeae*L.) varieties stored in different packing materials. *Proc. NationalWorkshop on Groundnut Seed Technol.*, February, 6-7, pp. 6-19.
- 39. Liukkonen, K.H., Montfoort, A., and Laakso, S.V., 1992, Water–Induced lipid changes in oat processing. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 40 (1): 126-130.
- 40. Majhi, A. and Bandopadhyaya, P. K., 1993, Vigour and viability of groundnut seeds (*Arachis hypogaea*L.) cv. JL-24 under different storage containers. *Environ. Ecol.*, 11: 930-932.
- 41. Malekar, P. K., Mian, I. H., Bhuiyan, R. K., Akanda, A. M. and Reza, M. M., 2008, Effect of storage containers and time on seed quality of wheat. *Bangladesh J. Agric. Res.*, 33(3): 469-477
- 42. Martini, S. and Anon, M.S., 2005, Storage of sunflower seed variation on the wax content of the oil. *European J. Lipid Sci. Technol.*, 107: 74-79.
- 43. Mata, M.C., Mara, M.C.A., and Diez-Marque, C., 2003, Extending shelf-life and nutritive value of green beans (*Phaseolusvulgaris* L.), by controlled atmosphere storage. *Micronutrients Food Chem.*, 80: 317–322
- 44. Millerd, A. and Thomson, J., 1975, Storage pro-teins of legume seeds: Proteins of legume seeds: Potential for change. *CSIRO Div. of Plant Industry Genetics Report.* 3:58-60.
- 45. Mohamed, G. M., 2011, Study on stability of wheat germ oil and lipase activity of wheat germ during periodical storage. *Agric. Biol. J. North America*, 2(1): 163-168.
- 46. Monira, U. S., Amin, M. H. A., Marin, M. and Mamun, M. A. A. 2012, Effect of containers on seed quality of storage soybean seed. *Bangladesh Res. Publ. J.*, 7(4): 421-427
- 47. Mostarin, T., Saha, S. R. and K. Khatun, 2012, Seed quality of bush bean as influenced by different storage containers and conditions. *J. Expt. Biosci.* 3(1): 83-88.

- 48. Narayanaswamy, S., 1993, Effect of provenance, pre-sowing treatment and storage on seed yield and quality in groundnut. *Ph.D. Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Bangalore.
- 49. Nataraj, K., Balakrishna, P., Ramegowda, Roopa, A.R. and Chandrashekar, U. S.,2011, Influence of storage containers and seed treatment chemicals onquality of new sunflower (*Helianthus annus*) hybrids during storage.*National Seed Congress*, January, 29-31, pp-267-280.
- 50. Neg, S.C. and Anderson A., 2005, Lipid oxidation in Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) as determinated through accelerated aging. *J. Environmental, Agric. Food Chem.*. 4 (4): 1010-1020.
- 51. Ory, R.L., Angelo A.J. and Altschul A.M., 1962, The acid lipase of the castor bean properties and substrate specificity. *J. Lipid Res.*, 3:99-105.
- 52. Padma, V. and Reddy, M.B., 2002, Storage of brinjal seed under ambient conditions at two moisture levels. *J. Res. ANGRAU*, 30 (2): 6-10.
- 53. Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V., 1967, *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, pp. 167-174.
- 54. Perring, M. A., and Pearson, K., 1987, Redistribution of minerals in apple fruit during storage, the effect of storage atmosphere on calcium concentration. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 40: 37–42.
- 55. Perring, M. A., and Pearson, K., 1987, Redistribution of minerals in apple fruit duringstorage, the effect of storage atmosphere on calcium concentration. *J. Sci.Food Agric.*, 40: 37–42.
- 56. Presley, J. T., 1958, Relationship of protoplast permeability of cotton seed viability and predisposition of seedling disease. *Pl. Dis. Rep.*, **42**:582.
- 57. Rahman, M. M. K. and Rahman, G. M. M., 2007, Effect of container and length of storage on germination and seedborne associated with mungbean seed. *Bangladesh J. Plant Path.*, 13(1-2):13-16.
- 58. Raikar, S. D., Vyakarnahal, B. S., Biradar, D. P., Deshpande, V. K. and Janagoudar, B. S., 2011, Effect of seed source, containers and seed treatment with chemical and biopesticide on storability of scented rice Cv. Mugad sugandha *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 24 (4):448-454.
- 59. Rajendraprasad, S., Ujjnaiah, U.S., Sathyanarayana Reddy, A. and Jagadish, G.V., 1998, Effect of genotypes of groundnut kernels and containers on seed quality during storage. *Seed Tech. News*, 28 (4):35.
- 60. Ramamoorthy, K. and Karivaratharaju, T.V., 1986, Storability and biochemical compositions of ground seed as influenced by packaging and seed treatment. *Indian Agric.*, 30:101-106.
- 61. Rao, N. K. and Sastry, D. S. R., 2002, Vacuum storage and seed survival in pearlmillet and sorghum. *Intl. Sorghum and Millets Newslett.*, 43: 20-22.

- 62. Ravi Hunje, Vyakarnahal, B. S. and Channappagoudar, B. B., 2007, Influence of containers on storability of chilli seed. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 20(3):55-58..
- 63. Rehman, Z. U. and Shah, W. H., 1999, Biochemical changes in wheat during storage at three temperatures. *Pla. Human Nutr.*, 54:109-117.
- 64. Remya, J., 2007, Influence of vacuum packaging and long terms storage on quality in chilli. Powder, *M. Sc. (Agri) Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India).
- 65. Roshny, T. A., 2007, Influence of vacuum packaging and long term storage on quality in whole chilli. *M. Sc. (Agri) Thesis*, Univ. of Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India).
- 66. Sahoo, P., Mishra, H. N. and Swain, S. K., 1987, Studies on the influence of ambient temperature and moisture condition during storage of *Kharif* and *Rabi/Summer* harvest paddy seeds on maintainance of viability. *VI All India Seed Tech. Res. Workshop*, CSAUAST, Kanpur from 17th to 19th Feb.1987.
- 67. Sana, N. K., Sarkar, B. C., Azad, M. A. K., Huque, M. E., Shaha, R. K., 2009, Enzyme activities and mobilization of nutrients in brassica (*brassica* spp.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) seeds during germination. *J. Bio-Sci.*, 17:101-106.
- 68. Sana, N. K., Sarkar, B. C., Azad, M. A. K., Huque, M. E., Shaha, R. K., 2009, Enzyme activities and mobilization of nutrients in brassica (*brassica* spp.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) seeds during germination. *J. Bio-Sci.*, 17:101-106.
- 69. Sastry, D. V., Upadhyaya, H. D. and Gowda, C. L., 2007, Survival of groundnutseeds under different storage conditions *SAT ejournal/ejournal.ICRISAT.Org.*, 5 (1):1-3.
- 70. Saxena, O.P., Singh, G., Pakeeraiah, H. and Pandey, N., 1987, Seed deteriorationstudies in some vegetable seeds. *Acta Hort*, 215:39-44.
- 71. Scialabba, A., Bellani, L. M. and Dell'Aquila, A., 2002, Effects of ageing on peroxidase activity and localization in radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) seeds. *European J. Histoche.*, 46(4): 351–358.
- 72. Sen, S., 1979, Cellular lesions leading to non viability of seeds. *Seed Res.*, 5: 79-92.
- 73. Shanmugavel, S., Anuradha Varier and Malavika Dadlani, 1995, Physiological attributes associated with seed ageing in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) cultivars. *Seed Res.*, 23(2): 61-66
- 74. Sisman, C.B., 2005, Quality losses in temporary sunflower stores and influences of storage conditions on quality losses during storage. *J. Central European Agric.*, 6: 143-
- 75. Yeh, Y. M., Chiu, K. Y., Chen, C. L. and Sung, J. M., 2004, Partial vacuum extendsthe longevity of primed bitter gourd seeds by enhancing their anti-oxidative activities during storage. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 104 (1):101–112.
- 76. Zagory, D., and Kader, A.A., 1989, Quality maintenance in fresh fruits and vegetables by controlled atmospheres. *In J. J. Jen (Ed.), Quality factors of fruits and vegetables. Chemistry and Technology. Los Angeles: American Chemical Society,* pp.23-26.