Agriculture Association of Textile Chemical and Critical Reviews Journal (2024) 121-133

AATCC Review

29 April 2024: Received
07 June 2024: Revised
05 July 2024: Accepted
21 August 2024: Available Online

https://aatcc.peerjournals.net/

Review Article
Open Access

Future Farming: The Impact of Digital Agriculture on Pest and Disease Strategies

Sai Manoj Marella[1], Vidya Madhuri E[1], Samreen[1], Rupali J S[1], Keerthika N[1], Manideep Sake[1], Tulasi Bhandari[1], Godavari H[1], Preeti Sharma[1], Ranjitha S M[1], and Amit Umesh Paschapur[1,2*]

[1]Division of Entomology, ICAR- Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi- 110012
[2]ICAR-Vivekananda Parvatiya Krishi Anusandhan Sansthan, Almora, Uttarakhand- 263601

ABSTRACT

Digital agriculture has revolutionized the way pest and disease management is approached in modern farming. This article deals with the pivotal role of decision support systems (DSS) in this context. Digital tools have enabled the integration of various data sources such as satellite imagery, weather forecasts, and field sensors, providing real-time insights into pest and disease dynamics. Decision support systems utilize this wealth of data to assist farmers in making informed decisions regarding pest and disease control strategies. By leveraging machine learning algorithms and predictive analytics, DSS can accurately forecast pest and disease outbreaks, thereby enabling proactive measures to mitigate risks and minimize crop losses. However, challenges such as data integration complexity, the need for high-quality datasets, and user accessibility remain. Furthermore, these systems facilitate precision agriculture practices by optimizing the use of pesticides and other interventions, thus promoting sustainability and environmental stewardship. Integration of DSS into digital agriculture frameworks empowers farmers with actionable intelligence tailored to their specific needs, enhancing overall farm productivity and profitability while reducing reliance on conventional, blanket approaches to pest and disease management. As technology continues to advance, the potential for DSS to further revolutionize integrated pest and disease management in agriculture is immense, promising a more efficient, resilient, and sustainable future for global food production. This study contributes significantly to entomology by providing a framework for integrating diverse data sources to better understand and manage pest populations, ultimately leading to more targeted and effective pest control strategies.

Keywords: *Digital agriculture, decision support systems, integrated pest and disease management, sustainable agriculture, precision agriculture, agricultural technology, crop loss mitigation, data integration, predictive modeling, machine learning.*

Introduction

Digital agriculture encompasses the application of cutting-edge technologies, data analytics, and automation in agricultural practices to enhance efficiency, productivity, and sustainability. It leverages innovations such as precision farming, sensor networks, and artificial intelligence (AI) to optimize resource utilization and decision-making processes (1,2). Decision support systems play a pivotal role in digital agriculture by providing farmers with real-time data analysis, predictive modeling, and actionable insights. By integrating various data sources and analytical tools, DSS empowers farmers to make informed decisions regarding crop management, resource allocation, and risk mitigation (3,4).

Pests and diseases pose significant threats to crop yields and food security worldwide. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) and Integrated Disease Management (IDM) strategies aim to mitigate these risks by combining multiple control tactics, including cultural, biological, and chemical methods (5,6). Conventional pest and disease management approaches often rely heavily on broad-spectrum pesticides and reactive interventions, leading to environmental pollution, resistance development, and health hazards. Moreover, the complexity of pest and disease dynamics requires timely and targeted interventions for effective control (7,8).

Remote sensing techniques, including satellite imagery and drones, enable the rapid detection and monitoring of pest infestations, disease outbreaks, and crop stress. High-resolution images and spectral analysis provide valuable insights into crop health and environmental conditions (9,10). IoT-based sensor networks deployed in fields collect real-time data on environmental parameters, soil conditions, and crop health indicators. Soil moisture sensors, weather stations, and spectral sensors offer valuable information for early pest and disease detection and monitoring (11,12).

Decision support systems (DSS) aggregate and analyze data from multiple sources, including satellite imagery, weather stations, and field sensors. Advanced algorithms process this information to identify patterns, trends, and anomalies indicative of pest and disease pressure (13). Predictive models incorporated into DSS forecast pest and disease dynamics based on environmental factors, crop phenology, and historical data. Risk assessment tools quantify the likelihood and severity of pest and disease outbreaks, enabling proactive management strategies (14,15). DSS provides farmers with customized recommendations for pest and disease control, considering factors such as pest thresholds, crop susceptibility, and economic constraints.

Corresponding Author: Amit Umesh Paschapur*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21276/AATCCReview.2024.12.03.121

Decision optimization algorithms suggest optimal intervention strategies, including timing, dosage, and application methods for pesticides and biocontrol agents (16,17).

Recent advancements in AI and machine learning have revolutionized pest and disease management in agriculture. Deep learning algorithms enable the automated identification and classification of pest species, disease symptoms, and crop disorders from image data with high accuracy and efficiency (18,19). Blockchain technology offers a decentralized and immutable platform for tracking agricultural products throughout the supply chain. By recording transactions and data related to crop production, storage, and distribution, blockchain enhances traceability, transparency, and trust in food systems (20,21). Emerging precision application technologies, such as variable rate sprayers and autonomous drones, enable targeted delivery of pesticides and biocontrol agents to specific areas within fields. This reduces chemical usage, minimizes environmental impact, and maximizes efficacy in pest and disease control (22,23). Big data analytics and cloud computing platforms facilitate the storage, processing, and analysis of large-scale agricultural datasets. By harnessing the power of cloud-based infrastructure and machine learning algorithms, farmers can gain valuable insights into pest and disease dynamics, optimize management practices, and improve decision-making efficiency (24,25).

Future advancements in omics technologies, including genomics, transcriptomics, and metabolomics, hold promise for understanding plant-pathogen interactions at the molecular level. Integrating multi-omic data into DSS could enable personalized disease management strategies tailored to specific crop-pathogen interactions and environmental conditions (26,27). Despite the potential benefits of digital agriculture and DSS, widespread adoption faces challenges related to cost, infrastructure, and technological literacy. Addressing these barriers and promoting accessibility to digital tools and technologies are essential for realizing their full potential in pest and disease management (28).

The future of digital agriculture and DSS lies in promoting sustainable and resilient farming practices that minimize environmental impact, conserve natural resources, and enhance agricultural productivity. By integrating ecological principles, biodiversity conservation, and climate-smart strategies into pest and disease management, farmers can build more robust and adaptive agricultural systems (29). In this comprehensive review, we explore the principles, technologies, recent advances, and prospects of digital agriculture and DSS for integrated pest and disease management.

2. Integration of Decision Support Systems (DSS) in Agriculture

In modern agriculture, the integration of Decision Support Systems (DSS) has revolutionized the way farmers make decisions, manage resources, and optimize yields (30). DSS are sophisticated tools that leverage data, analytics, and computational models to provide farmers with valuable insights and recommendations for improved decision-making. This integration has significantly enhanced efficiency, sustainability, and profitability in farming practices (31,32). In this section, we brief various aspects of DSS integration in agriculture, including their definition, components, data processing mechanisms, modeling techniques, and real-time monitoring capabilities.

Decision Support Systems (DSS) are interactive computer-based tools that assist users in making decisions by utilizing data, models, and analytical techniques (33,34). In the context of agriculture, DSS plays a crucial role in providing farmers with timely and relevant information to optimize crop production, manage resources effectively, and mitigate risks (35,30). The components of a typical DSS in agriculture include:

Data Input Module: This component involves the collection and input of relevant data from various sources, including sensors, weather stations, satellite imagery, soil tests, and historical records. The data may encompass information on soil properties, weather conditions, crop health, pest infestations, market trends, and agronomic practices (36).

Database Management System (DBMS): The DBMS stores and manages the collected data efficiently, ensuring its accessibility, integrity, and security. It serves as a repository for diverse data types, facilitating seamless integration and retrieval for analysis and decision-making (37).

Model Base: The model base consists of mathematical, statistical, and computational models that simulate agricultural processes, such as crop growth, pest dynamics, nutrient cycling, and water usage. These models help predict outcomes, evaluate scenarios, and optimize management practices based on user-defined parameters and objectives (38).

User Interface: The user interface serves as the interaction platform between the farmer or agricultural manager and the DSS. It provides intuitive tools, visualizations, and dashboards for data exploration, analysis, and decision support. The interface may include graphical displays, maps, charts, and decision trees to communicate insights effectively (35).

Decision Logic: The decision logic component encompasses algorithms, rules, and heuristics that guide decision-making within the DSS. It analyses data inputs, interprets model outputs, and generates recommendations or action plans based on predefined criteria, thresholds, and optimization objectives **(39).**

Output Module: The output module presents the results, recommendations, and insights generated by the DSS to the user in a comprehensible format. It may include reports, graphs, maps, alerts, and notifications to facilitate informed decision-making and timely interventions (40).

Data collection and processing: are fundamental aspects of DSS integration in agriculture. The effectiveness of decision-making relies on the availability, quality, and relevance of data inputs (30). In modern farming, various technologies and systems are employed to collect, aggregate, and preprocess agricultural data, including sensor Networks like, soil sensors, climate sensors, moisture sensors, and other IoT devices are deployed across fields to monitor environmental conditions, soil properties, and crop health in real-time. These sensors provide continuous data streams on parameters such as temperature, humidity, rainfall, soil moisture, nutrient levels, and plant growth metrics (41). Remote Sensing, satellite imagery, aerial drones, and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) capture high-resolution images of agricultural landscapes, allowing for the analysis of crop health, vegetation indices, land cover changes, and pest infestations. Remote sensing data offer valuable insights into spatial patterns and temporal trends, aiding in precision agriculture practices (42).

Weather stations, like automated weather stations and meteorological sensors record atmospheric conditions, including temperature, humidity, wind speed, and precipitation. Weather data are crucial for assessing climate risks, predicting weather events, and optimizing irrigation, fertilization, and pest management strategies (43). Further, the historical data on crop yields, pest outbreaks, soil characteristics, and agronomic practices provide valuable insights into long-term trends, patterns, and correlations. By analyzing historical records, DSS can identify recurring issues, assess the effectiveness of past interventions, and inform future decision-making (44).

3. Modeling and Predictive Analytics

Modeling and predictive analytics play a crucial role in DSS integration, enabling farmers to anticipate future outcomes, assess alternative scenarios, and optimize management practices (45). Various modeling techniques and predictive analytics approaches are employed in agriculture, which includes, crop growth models to simulate the physiological processes of crop development, from germination to maturity, based on environmental factors, management practices, and genetic characteristics. These models predict crop growth stages, biomass accumulation, and yield potential under different conditions (46). Pest and disease models simulate the population dynamics and spread of agricultural pests, pathogens, and weeds over time and space. These models incorporate factors such as temperature, humidity, host plant availability, and management practices to predict pest outbreaks, disease epidemics, and weed infestations (47). Yield forecasting models predict crop yields based on historical yield data, weather forecasts, soil conditions, and agronomic inputs. These models utilize regression analysis, machine learning algorithms, and time series forecasting techniques to estimate future yields and identify factors influencing productivity (48). Risk assessment models evaluate the likelihood and impact of various risks, including weather-related risks, pest infestations, market fluctuations, and policy changes, on agricultural outcomes. These models help farmers quantify risks, prioritize mitigation strategies, and optimize resource allocation to minimize potential losses (49). Optimization models optimize resource allocation, production planning, and decision-making to maximize agricultural productivity, profitability, and sustainability (50). These models utilize mathematical programming techniques, such as linear programming, integer programming, and dynamic programming, to identify optimal solutions under constraints and objectives. All the above predictive analytics models leverage historical data, statistical analysis, and machine learning algorithms to forecast future happenings (51).

4. Digital Agriculture in Insect Pest Management

Insect pests pose significant threats to agricultural productivity, causing yield losses, crop damage, and economic hardship for farmers worldwide (52). These pests can cause direct damage by feeding on plants, transmitting diseases, and reducing yields. Additionally, the indirect effects of pest infestations include increased production costs, decreased crop quality, and disruptions to supply chains (53). Traditional pest management methods often rely on broad-spectrum pesticides, which can have detrimental effects on the environment, human health, and beneficial organisms (54). Insect pest management is essential for mitigating these risks and ensuring sustainable agricultural practices. Effective pest management strategies help farmers minimize losses, optimize resource utilization, and maintain crop health, thereby enhancing food production and livelihoods for millions of people worldwide (55). Digital agriculture offers innovative solutions to address these challenges by integrating advanced technologies, data-driven approaches, and decision support systems (DSS) into pest management strategies. Here we explore the importance of insect pest management in agriculture, the role of digital agriculture in pest monitoring, decision support systems for pest control, and successful case studies showcasing the implementation of digital tools in pest management.

Digital agriculture offers innovative technologies and tools for monitoring insect pests in real time, enabling early detection, accurate identification, and timely interventions (56,45). The integration of digital technologies enhances the efficiency and effectiveness of pest monitoring efforts, providing farmers with valuable insights into pest dynamics and population trends (57). Key components of digital agriculture in pest monitoring include automated pest detection technologies, that leverage advanced imaging techniques, machine learning algorithms, and computer vision systems to identify and quantify pest populations in agricultural fields (58). These technologies utilize drones, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), and ground-based sensors to capture high-resolution images of crops and analyze them for signs of pest infestations. By automating the detection process, farmers can quickly identify emerging pest threats, assess their severity, and target control measures more effectively (59).

Further, the sensor-based pest surveillance systems utilize a network of sensors and monitoring devices deployed throughout agricultural landscapes to detect changes in pest activity and environmental conditions (60). Soil moisture sensors, climate monitors, pheromone traps, and acoustic sensors are used to track pest populations, monitor their movements, and predict potential outbreaks (61). By continuously monitoring pest dynamics in real-time, farmers can make informed decisions about pest management strategies, such as timing pesticide applications or deploying biological control agents. Decision support systems (DSS) play a crucial role in digital agriculture by integrating data analytics, modelling techniques, and predictive algorithms to assist farmers in making informed decisions about pest control strategies. DSS provide valuable insights into pest dynamics, population trends, and risk factors, enabling proactive management approaches to minimize pest damage and optimize crop yields (62,63).

4.1. A few of the key components of DSS for pest management are

Modeling pest dynamics: DSS utilize mathematical models and simulation techniques to predict pest population dynamics, including growth rates, dispersal patterns, and seasonal fluctuations. These models integrate data on environmental factors, such as temperature, humidity, and host plant availability, to forecast pest outbreaks and identify vulnerable areas within agricultural landscapes. By simulating different scenarios and management interventions, farmers can evaluate the efficacy of control measures and implement proactive strategies to mitigate pest threats (64,65,66).

Predictive Analysis for Pest Outbreaks: predictive analytics algorithms analyze historical data, real-time observations, and environmental variables to forecast the likelihood and severity of pest outbreaks. By identifying predictive indicators and risk factors associated with pest infestations, DSS can generate early warning alerts and recommend preventive measures to minimize crop damage. Predictive analysis enables farmers to allocate resources more efficiently, target interventions to high-risk areas, and optimize pest management strategies based on the anticipated pest pressure (67,68).

5. Case studies for successful Implementation of digital tools in pest management

Several case studies demonstrate the successful implementation of digital tools and technologies in insect pest management, showcasing their effectiveness in enhancing pest monitoring, control, and decision-making processes. Some notable examples include:

5.1. Smart Trap Network for Fruit Fly Management

In Australia, researchers developed a smart trap network equipped with sensors and communication devices to monitor fruit fly populations in orchards. The traps automatically detect and count fruit flies, transmitting real-time data to a central database for analysis. By analysing trap data and environmental conditions, farmers can optimize the timing of insecticide applications and implement targeted control measures to reduce fruit fly damage and protect fruit crops (69).

5.2. UAV-Based Pest Surveillance in Rice Fields

In Asia, UAVs equipped with multispectral cameras and thermal imaging sensors are used to survey rice fields for signs of pest infestations, such as rice blast disease and stem borers. The UAVs capture high-resolution images of the fields, which are analyzed using machine learning algorithms to identify areas of crop stress and pest activity. By mapping pest hotspots and disease outbreaks, farmers can prioritize field inspections, implement cultural control practices, and optimize pesticide application rates to minimize yield losses and improve crop health **(70).**

5.3. Sensor Networks for Aphid Monitoring in Grain Crops

In Europe, sensor networks consisting of weather stations and pheromone traps are deployed in grain crops to monitor aphid populations and assess their movement patterns. The sensors collect data on temperature, humidity, wind speed, and aphid activity, which are transmitted to a centralized platform for analysis. By analyzing environmental conditions and aphid behavior, farmers can anticipate aphid migrations, implement early warning systems, and deploy targeted control measures, such as insecticide sprays or natural enemies, to suppress aphid populations and protect grain yields (71).

6. Digital Agriculture in Disease Management

Plant diseases pose significant challenges to global agriculture, threatening crop yields, food security, and economic stability. Plant diseases are caused by a variety of pathogens, including fungi, bacteria, viruses, nematodes, and phytoplasmas, which infect crops at various stages of growth and development. These pathogens can cause a range of symptoms, including leaf spots, wilting, rotting, stunting, and necrosis, leading to reduced yields, lower crop quality, and economic losses for farmers (72). Traditional methods of disease management often rely on reactive approaches and broad-spectrum chemical treatments, which can be costly, environmentally damaging, and unsustainable in the long term (73). Digital agriculture offers innovative solutions for disease management by integrating advanced technologies, data-driven approaches, and decision support systems (DSS) into disease surveillance, diagnosis, and control strategies (45). In this section, we will explore the role of digital agriculture in disease management, including an overview of plant diseases, digital tools for disease detection, decision support systems for disease control, and case studies demonstrating effective disease management through digital agriculture.

6.1. Digital Tools for Disease Detection

Digital agriculture offers a range of tools and technologies for disease detection and surveillance, enabling early detection, rapid diagnosis, and targeted control measures. Key digital tools for disease detection include:

Imaging Technologies for Disease Diagnosis: Advanced imaging technologies, such as hyperspectral imaging, near-infrared spectroscopy, and thermal imaging, are used for non-destructive disease diagnosis in plants. These technologies capture high-resolution images of plant tissues and analyse spectral signatures to identify disease symptoms, stress indicators, and physiological changes associated with pathogen infection. By analyzing imaging data, researchers and farmers can detect diseases at early stages, assess disease severity, and monitor disease progression in crops (74,75).

Sensor-based disease monitoring systems: These systems utilize a network of sensors and monitoring devices to detect changes in plant physiology, biochemistry, and environmental conditions associated with disease outbreaks. Soil moisture sensors, leaf wetness sensors, and spectral sensors measure parameters such as moisture levels, chlorophyll content, and photosynthetic activity, which are indicative of plant health and disease status. By continuously monitoring these parameters, farmers can detect deviations from normal conditions, identify disease hotspots, and implement timely interventions to control disease spread (76).

6.2. Decision Support Systems for Disease Control

Decision support systems (DSS) play a crucial role in digital agriculture by integrating data analytics, modeling techniques, and predictive algorithms to assist farmers in making informed decisions about disease control strategies. DSS provides valuable insights into disease dynamics, risk factors, and management options, enabling proactive measures to prevent disease outbreaks and minimize crop losses (77). Key components of DSS for disease control include:

Predictive Modelling of Disease Spread: DSS utilize mathematical models and simulation techniques to predict the spread and severity of plant diseases based on environmental factors, crop characteristics, and disease epidemiology. These models incorporate data on temperature, humidity, rainfall, wind patterns, host susceptibility, and pathogen biology to forecast disease outbreaks, assess disease risk, and identify vulnerable areas within agricultural landscapes. By simulating different scenarios and management interventions, farmers can develop proactive strategies to mitigate disease risks and optimize control measures (78,79).

Intervention Strategies Based on DSS: DSS recommend intervention strategies and management practices based on the outputs of predictive models, risk assessments, and cost-benefit analyses. These strategies may include cultural practices, such as crop rotation, sanitation, and planting resistant varieties, as well as chemical controls, such as fungicides, bactericides, and nematicides. DSS help farmers prioritize control measures, optimize resource allocation, and implement integrated pest management (IPM) strategies that minimize reliance on chemical inputs and promote sustainable disease management practices (80).

7. Successful Case Studies for Effective Disease Management Through Digital Agriculture

Several case studies demonstrate the effectiveness of digital tools and decision support systems in disease management, showcasing their potential to enhance disease surveillance, diagnosis, and control in agricultural systems. Some notable examples include:

7.1. Remote Sensing for Early Detection of Citrus Greening Disease

In Florida, researchers used remote sensing techniques, including aerial drones and satellite imagery, to detect early signs of citrus greening disease (Huanglongbing). By analyzing spectral data collected from citrus groves, researchers identified subtle changes in tree physiology and canopy health associated with disease infection. Early detection allowed farmers to remove infected trees promptly, implement vector control measures, and prevent further spread of the disease, ultimately mitigating economic losses and preserving citrus production in the region (81,82).

7.2. Sensor Networks for Monitoring Wheat Rust Diseases

In wheat-producing regions, sensor networks equipped with weather stations and disease monitoring devices are deployed to track the spread of wheat rust diseases, such as stem rust and stripe rust. By continuously monitoring environmental conditions and disease prevalence, farmers can anticipate disease outbreaks, adjust fungicide application schedules, and deploy resistant wheat varieties to minimize yield losses. Sensor-based disease monitoring systems provide real-time data on disease dynamics, enabling farmers to make timely decisions and implement effective disease management strategies (83,84).

7.3. Decision Support Systems for Integrated Disease Management in Vineyards

In vineyard management, decision support systems integrate weather data, disease models, and pest monitoring information to optimize disease control measures and minimize chemical inputs. DSS provides vineyard managers with personalized recommendations for fungicide applications, irrigation scheduling, and canopy management practices based on disease risk assessments and economic thresholds. By adopting integrated disease management strategies guided by DSS, vineyard operators can reduce pesticide usage, improve grape quality, and sustainably manage diseases such as powdery mildew and downy mildew (85).

These case studies highlight the diverse applications of digital tools and decision support systems in disease management, demonstrating their effectiveness in enhancing disease surveillance, diagnosis, and control in agricultural systems. A few more successful digital dissemination tools developed for successful insect pest and disease management worldwide from 2015 – 2023 are mentioned in Table 1. By harnessing the power of digital agriculture, farmers can improve disease management practices, optimize resource allocation, and mitigate the impacts of plant diseases on crop yields and food security.

8. Recent Advances in Digital Agriculture and Decision Support Systems

In recent years, digital agriculture has witnessed rapid advancements driven by technological innovation and data-driven approaches. Decision Support Systems (DSS) have played a pivotal role in leveraging these advancements to optimize agricultural practices, enhance productivity, and ensure sustainability (63). Here we will explore the latest breakthroughs in digital agriculture and DSS, including artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning applications, blockchain technology, Internet of Things (IoT) integration, and big data analytics.

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning: They have emerged as powerful tools in digital agriculture, enabling automated decision-making, predictive modelling, and real-time analysis of agricultural data. Some of the important advancements include, deep learning algorithms, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), which have revolutionized pest and disease recognition in agriculture (86). By analysing large datasets of images depicting crop pests, diseases, and symptoms, deep-learning models can accurately identify and classify threats to crop health. These models enable farmers to detect pest infestations and disease outbreaks early, allowing for timely interventions and targeted control measures (87). Furthermore, deep learning algorithms can continually improve their performance through iterative training on additional data, enhancing their accuracy and robustness over time.

Adaptive DSS for Dynamic Agricultural Systems: Traditional DSS often rely on static models and assumptions about agricultural systems, which may not adequately account for dynamic and unpredictable environmental conditions. Adaptive DSS, powered by machine learning algorithms, continuously learns from real-time data streams to adapt and evolve in response to changing agricultural conditions (88). These systems analyse environmental variables, crop performance metrics, and management practices to dynamically adjust recommendations and optimize decision-making (13). Adaptive DSS enhances resilience, efficiency, and sustainability in agricultural systems by providing farmers with personalized, context-aware insights and interventions.

8.1. Blockchain Technology in Agriculture

Blockchain technology has gained traction in agriculture due to its potential to improve transparency, traceability, and trust in food supply chains (89). Blockchain-enabled solutions offer several benefits for the agricultural sector

Traceability and Transparency in Agricultural Supply Chains: Blockchain technology enables the creation of immutable, transparent ledgers that record the entire journey of agricultural products from farm to fork. Each transaction, including production, processing, transportation, and distribution, is securely recorded on the blockchain, providing stakeholders with a tamper-proof audit trail of product provenance and quality. By tracing the origin of food products, consumers can make informed choices about their purchases, while farmers and producers can demonstrate compliance with regulatory standards and certifications. Blockchain also enhances supply chain efficiency by reducing fraud, eliminating intermediaries, and streamlining transactions, thereby benefiting both producers and consumers (90).

8.2. Internet of Things (IoT) Integration

The Internet of Things (IoT) has revolutionized agricultural practices by enabling the connectivity of physical devices, sensors, and machinery to the Internet, facilitating data collection, monitoring, and automation. IoT integration in agriculture includes:

Smart Farming Platforms: Smart farming platforms leverage IoT sensors, actuators, and communication networks to collect real-time data on environmental conditions, soil moisture, crop health, and equipment status. These platforms enable farmers to remotely monitor field conditions, optimize irrigation and fertilization practices, and automate tasks such as irrigation scheduling and pest control. By integrating data from multiple sources, including sensors, satellites, and weather forecasts, smart farming platforms provide farmers with actionable insights and decision support for improving efficiency, productivity, and sustainability in agricultural operations. Moreover, IoT-enabled devices enhance resource management, reduce input costs, and minimize environmental impacts, contributing to the long-term viability of farming practices (91).

Big Data Analytics for Agricultural Decision Making: The proliferation of data generated by IoT sensors, satellite imagery, weather forecasts, and agricultural machinery has led to the emergence of big data analytics as a powerful tool for agricultural decision-making Recent advances in big data analytics include:

Advanced Data Processing Techniques: Big data analytics techniques, such as parallel computing, distributed computing, and cloud computing, enable the efficient processing and analysis of large-scale agricultural datasets. These techniques allow researchers and practitioners to extract actionable insights, identify patterns, and derive correlations from vast amounts of heterogeneous data sources. By harnessing the computational power of big data analytics platforms, agricultural stakeholders can gain deeper insights into complex agricultural systems, optimize resource allocation, and make data-driven decisions to enhance productivity and sustainability (92).

Predictive Analytics and Machine Learning Models: They leverage big data to forecast agricultural outcomes, such as crop yields, pest infestations, and market trends. These models utilize historical data, environmental variables, and agronomic practices to train predictive algorithms that can anticipate future scenarios and trends. By integrating predictive analytics into decision support systems, farmers can proactively manage risks, optimize production processes, and maximize returns on investment. Moreover, machine learning algorithms enable personalized recommendations and adaptive management strategies tailored to specific farm conditions and objectives, improving the efficiency and effectiveness of agricultural operations.

9. Challenges and Future Directions in Digital Agriculture

As digital agriculture continues to evolve and expand, it brings with it a host of opportunities for improving efficiency, sustainability, and productivity in farming practices. However, along with these opportunities come several challenges that need to be addressed to realize the full potential of digital technologies in agriculture (93). In this section, we will explore the key challenges faced by digital agriculture and discuss future directions, emerging trends, and prospects for overcoming these challenges.

9.1. Data Security and Privacy Concerns

One of the most significant challenges facing digital agriculture is the issue of data security and privacy. With the proliferation of sensors, drones, satellite imagery, and other digital technologies on farms, there is a growing concern about the protection of sensitive agricultural data. Farmers and agribusinesses collect vast amounts of data related to crop yields, soil composition, weather patterns, and farm management practices. This data, if compromised, could have serious implications for farmers' competitiveness, intellectual property rights, and privacy (94).

Addressing Data Security Risks

Encryption and Authentication: Implementing robust encryption and authentication mechanisms to secure data transmission and storage.

Access Controls: Establishing access controls and user permissions to limit data access to authorized personnel only.

Secure Data Storage: Utilizing secure cloud storage solutions with built-in security features to protect sensitive agricultural data.

Regulatory Compliance: Ensuring compliance with data protection regulations, such as GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation) and CCPA (California Consumer Privacy Act), to safeguard farmers' privacy rights.

9.2. Adoption Challenges in Different Agricultural Settings

While digital agriculture holds immense promise for transforming farming practices, its adoption is not uniform across different agricultural settings. Farmers in developed countries with access to advanced infrastructure and resources may embrace digital technologies more readily than those in developing regions with limited connectivity and technological literacy (95). Adoption challenges in diverse agricultural settings include:

Bridging the Digital Divide

Infrastructure Constraints: Lack of reliable internet connectivity, electricity, and technological infrastructure in rural areas hinders the adoption of digital agriculture tools and technologies.

Cost Barriers: High upfront costs of digital technologies, including sensors, drones, and precision equipment, pose financial barriers to adoption for small-scale and resource-constrained farmers.

Technological Literacy: Limited awareness, education, and training in digital literacy and technology use among farmers impede their ability to adopt and utilize digital agriculture solutions effectively.

Overcoming Adoption Barriers

Capacity Building: Providing training, education, and technical support to farmers to enhance their digital literacy and skills in using agricultural technologies.

Financial Incentives: Offering subsidies, grants, and financial assistance programs to offset the costs of adopting digital agriculture technologies for smallholder farmers.

Public-Private Partnerships: Collaborating with government agencies, NGOs, and private sector stakeholders to develop tailored solutions and initiatives that address the specific needs and challenges of different agricultural communities.

10. Future Prospects and Emerging Trends

Despite the challenges, the future of digital agriculture looks promising, with several emerging trends and opportunities on the horizon. Key prospects and emerging trends include:

Precision Agriculture

Advanced Sensing Technologies: Continued advancements in sensor technologies, including hyperspectral imaging, drones, and IoT devices, will enable more precise monitoring and management of agricultural resources.

Robotics and Automation: Integration of robotics, autonomous vehicles, and AI-powered farm machinery will revolutionize tasks such as planting, harvesting, and crop maintenance, enhancing efficiency and reducing labor costs.

Sustainable Agriculture

Agroecological Approaches: Adoption of agroecological practices, such as organic farming, regenerative agriculture, and agroforestry, will promote biodiversity, soil health, and ecosystem resilience.

Climate-Smart Solutions: The development of climate-smart agriculture techniques and resilient crop varieties that can withstand climate variability and extreme weather events will help farmers adapt to changing environmental conditions.

Digital Ecosystems

Interoperability and Integration: Integration of disparate digital agriculture technologies and platforms into cohesive digital ecosystems will facilitate data sharing, interoperability, and seamless integration across the agricultural value chain.

Open Data Initiatives: The promotion of open data initiatives and collaborative platforms will encourage knowledge sharing, innovation, and co-creation within the agricultural community, fostering a culture of transparency and collaboration.

Data-Driven Decision Making

Predictive Analytics: Advancements in data analytics, machine learning, and AI algorithms will enable more accurate predictions, forecasts, and recommendations for optimizing agricultural practices and resource management.

Real-Time Monitoring: The deployment of real-time monitoring systems and remote sensing technologies will provide farmers with instant access to actionable insights and feedback, enabling timely decision-making and interventions.

Digital Marketplaces and Supply Chains

Blockchain Technology: The adoption of blockchain technology in agriculture will enhance traceability, transparency, and trust in agricultural supply chains, enabling farmers to verify product authenticity, quality, and provenance.

E-Commerce Platforms: The development of digital marketplaces and e-commerce platforms will connect farmers directly with consumers, bypassing traditional intermediaries and enabling fairer pricing and greater market access for small-scale producers.

In conclusion, while digital agriculture faces significant challenges related to data security, adoption barriers, and technological disparities, the future holds immense promise for leveraging digital technologies to transform farming practices and address global food security and sustainability challenges. By addressing these challenges and embracing emerging trends and opportunities, stakeholders in the agricultural sector can harness the full potential of digital agriculture to create a more resilient, equitable, and sustainable food system for the future.

11. Call to Action for Continued Research and Implementation

To fully realize the potential of digital agriculture and decision support systems, concerted efforts are needed in the following areas:

Research and Innovation: Continued research and innovation are essential for developing new technologies, methodologies, and best practices in digital agriculture. This includes advancements in data analytics, sensor technologies, machine learning, and modelling techniques to further enhance the capabilities of decision support systems (96).

Education and Training: Education and training programs should be developed to equip farmers, agronomists, and agricultural stakeholders with the knowledge and skills needed to effectively utilize digital agriculture technologies and decision support systems in their operations.

Policy and Regulation: Governments and policymakers play a crucial role in creating an enabling environment for the adoption and implementation of digital agriculture. This includes policies that promote data sharing, incentivize technological adoption, and address regulatory barriers to innovation.

Collaboration and Partnerships: Collaboration among stakeholders, including farmers, researchers, technology providers, and government agencies, is essential for fostering innovation, sharing best practices, and scaling up successful digital agriculture initiatives.

Accessibility and Equity: Efforts should be made to ensure that digital agriculture technologies and decision support systems are accessible and affordable to all farmers, regardless of their scale of operation or geographic location. This requires addressing issues of the digital divide, ensuring inclusivity, and prioritizing the needs of smallholder farmers and rural communities.

12. Conclusion and future scope of the study

In conclusion, digital agriculture and decision support systems hold immense promise for revolutionizing agricultural practices and addressing global food system challenges. By embracing innovation, collaboration, and sustainability, we can harness digital agriculture's power to create a more resilient, productive, and sustainable future for food production worldwide. The future scope of the study on "Future Farming: The Impact of Digital Agriculture on Pest and Disease Strategies" is vast and promising. As digital agriculture evolves, integrating advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and the Internet of Things (IoT) is expected to further enhance decision support systems (DSS). Future research should focus on developing more sophisticated

algorithms that can analyze complex data sets, providing precise and timely predictions for pest and disease outbreaks. Additionally, expanding networked sensor systems and incorporating genomic data could lead to more personalized and localized pest management strategies.
Ensuring the interoperability of different digital platforms and creating user-friendly interfaces will be crucial for widespread adoption among farmers of varying technical expertise. Blockchain technology's potential to ensure data security and transparency in pest and disease management is another exciting avenue for exploration. Moreover, future studies should investigate digital agriculture's socio-economic impacts, including reducing costs, increasing yields, and promoting sustainable practices. Long-term field trials and real-world applications will be essential to validate and refine these digital tools, ensuring they meet farmers' practical needs.
Ultimately, the continued advancement and integration of digital technologies in agriculture promise to transform pest and disease management strategies, leading to a more sustainable and resilient global food system.

Conflict of interest

All the authors have thoroughly reviewed the review article and have no conflict of interest in submission of the article to "*Agriculture Association of Textile Chemical and Critical Reviews Journal*"

Acknowledgment

The authora are thankful to The Director, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi, The Director, ICAR-VPKAS, Almora and the Head, Division of Entomology, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi for guiding us and supporting during compilation and drafting the review article.

Table 1. Digital Dissemination Tools in Insect Pest and Disease Management Worldwide (2015 – 2023)

S. No	Name of the technology	Country	Targeted pest(s)	Crop	Description	Reference
1	Blight Pro	North America	Late blight fungus (*Phytophthora infestans*)	Tomato and Potato	Location-specific recommendations based on weather data and validated disease models contribute to optimized biocontrol agent use.	Small *et al.,* 2015
2	Web-Pest	Europe	Aphids, Whitefly, Thrips	Tomato and Grapes	Web-Pest, Rule-based techniques, and standardised pest management processes to recommend appropriate management actions based on crop stage and pest infestation.	del Aguila *et al.,* 2015
3	Rice Expert System	India	Major pests and diseases	Rice	A web-based expert system for the identification of key insect pests and diseases of paddy crop in a location-specific manner.	Sailaja et al., 2016
4	Plantix	Global	120 pests and diseases	30 crops	Plantix is a mobile application that uses image recognition and deep learning to detect the major insect pests and diseases worldwide, where the user gets individual recommendations and diagnosis results.	Fao.org/e-agriculture
5	myFields	North America	Greenbugs and Aphid	Soybean	Integrates pest and natural enemy data aggregation for effective sampling, varietal development and deployment, and site-specific real-time management guidance for effective biocontrol.	Giles *et al.,* 2017
6	SAVIA	Europe	Aphids, Thrips and Red mites	Grapes	a rule-based web information system for pest management in table grapes, providing support regarding necessary treatment when pest action thresholds are crossed—following IPM regulations	Canadas *et al.,* 2017
7	JIS	-	Greenhouse whitefly (*Trialeurodes Vaporariorum*)	-	A Java-based Insect Simulation model, used by greenhouse growers for effective maintenance of pest populations below the ETL by simulating pest population projections.	Yeow and Becker (2019)
8	AgroDSS	Europe	-	-	a cloud-based decision support toolbox, allowing farmers to upload their own data, utilize several data analysis methods and retrieve their outputs	Kukar *et al.,* 2019
9	Crop Pest DSS	India	Most of Rice Pests	Paddy	A crop phenology-based degree-day model to predict the timing of insect activity and stage for decision-making in the management of key pests of rice	(crida.in/naip) 2019

10	AgriEnt	South America	-	Sugarcane, Banana, Cocoa, Corn, Soya, Paddy	a knowledge-based Web platform with rule-based inference focused on supporting farmers in the decision-making process concerning crop insect pest diagnosis and management treatments based on the user provided symptoms	Lagos-Ortiz *et al.,* 2020
11	AGROSAVIA	South America	Tomato Leaf miner (*Pthorimaea absoluta*)	Tomato	Integrative action threshold module based on the pest life stage for optimizing control measures of the tomato leafminer	Rincon *et al.,* 2023
12	Plantwise web	Global	300+ pest species	-	Plantwise Web, promotes pest control by providing a knowledge bank, recommendations, and learning resources about IPM technologies.	Otieno *et al.,* 2020

References

1. Ozdogan, B., Gacar, A., & Aktas, H. (2017). Digital agriculture practices in the context of agriculture 4.0. *Journal of Economics Finance and Accounting*, *4*(2), 186-193.

2. Seth, A. N. K. U. R., & Ganguly, K. A. V. E. R. Y. (2017). Digital technologies transforming Indian agriculture. *The Global Innovation Index*, 105-111.

3. Adereti, D. T., Gardezi, M., Wang, T., & McMaine, J. (2023). Understanding farmers' engagement and barriers to machine learning-based intelligent agricultural decision support systems. *Agronomy Journal*.

4. Tripathi, P. K., Singh, C. K., Singh, R., & Deshmukh, A. K. (2023). A farmer-centric agricultural decision support system for market dynamics in a volatile agricultural supply chain. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *30*(10), 3925-3952.

5. Khoury, W. E., & Makkouk, K. (2010). Integrated plant disease management in developing countries. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, S35-S42.

6. Gurjar, M. S., Saharan, M. S., & Aggarwal, R. A. S. H. M. I. (2018). Integrated disease management practices for sustainable agriculture under ICM approach. *Integrated crop management practices for enhancing productivity resource use efficiency, soil health and livelihood practices. CAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi*, 113-120.

7. Lucas, J. A. (2011). Advances in plant disease and pest management. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, *149*(S1), 91-114.

8. Jindal, V. I. K. A. S., Dhaliwal, G. S., & Koul, O. P. E. N. D. E. R. (2013). Pest management in 21st century: roadmap for future. *Biopesticides International*, *9*(1), 22.

9. Zhang, J., Huang, Y., Pu, R., Gonzalez-Moreno, P., Yuan, L., Wu, K., & Huang, W. (2019). Monitoring plant diseases and pests through remote sensing technology: A review. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *165*, 104943.

10. Abd El-Ghany, N. M., Abd El-Aziz, S. E., & Marei, S. S. (2020). A review: application of remote sensing as a promising strategy for insect pests and diseases management. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *27*(27), 33503-33515.

11. Jaishetty, S. A., & Patil, R. (2016). IoT sensor network based approach for agricultural field monitoring and control. *IJRET: International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, *5*(06), 45-48.

12. Pandey, A. K., & Mukherjee, A. (2022). a review on advances in IoT-based technologies for smart agricultural system. *Internet of Things and Analytics for Agriculture, Volume 3*, 29-44.

13. Zhai, Z., Martínez, J. F., Beltran, V., & Martínez, N. L. (2020). Decision support systems for agriculture 4.0: Survey and challenges. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *170*, 105256.

14. Prasad, Y., & Prabhakar, M. (2012). Pest monitoring and forecasting. *Integrated pest management: principles and practice. Oxfordshire, UK: Cabi*, 41-57.

15. Sailaja, B., Padmavathi, C., Krishnaveni, D., Katti, G., Subrahmanyam, D., Prasad, M. S., ... & Voleti, S. R. (2020). Decision-support systems for pest monitoring and management. In *Improving data management and decision support systems in agriculture* (pp. 205-234). Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing.

16. Rossi, V., Sperandio, G., Caffi, T., Simonetto, A., & Gilioli, G. (2019). Critical success factors for the adoption of decision tools in IPM. *Agronomy*, *9*(11), 710.

17. Theodorou, D., Mantas, N., Karampelia, I., Dimokas, N., Kyriakidis, T., & Louta, M. (2023, July). Decision Making in Precision Agriculture-The Case of VEL OS Intelligent Decision Support System. In *2023 14th International Conference on Information, Intelligence, Systems & Applications (IISA)* (pp. 1-7). IEEE.

18. Wani, J. A., Sharma, S., Muzamil, M., Ahmed, S., Sharma, S., & Singh, S. (2022). Machine learning and deep learning based computational techniques in automatic agricultural diseases detection: Methodologies, applications, and challenges. *Archives of Computational methods in Engineering*, *29*(1), 641-677.

19. Chithambarathanu, M., & Jeyakumar, M. K. (2023). Survey on crop pest detection using deep learning and machine learning approaches. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, *82*(27), 42277-42310.

20. Caro, M. P., Ali, M. S., Vecchio, M., & Giaffreda, R. (2018, May). Blockchain-based traceability in Agri-Food supply chain management: A practical implementation. In *2018 IoT Vertical and Topical Summit on Agriculture-Tuscany (IOT Tuscany)* (pp. 1-4). IEEE.

21. Mukherjee, A. A., Singh, R. K., Mishra, R., & Bag, S. (2022). Application of blockchain technology for sustainability development in agricultural supply chain: Justification framework. *Operations Management Research*, *15*(1), 46-61.

22. Zijlstra, C., Lund, I., Justesen, A. F., Nicolaisen, M., Jensen, P. K., Bianciotto, V., ... & de Zande, J. V. (2011). Combining novel monitoring tools and precision application technologies for integrated high-tech crop protection in the future (a discussion document). *Pest Management Science*, *67*(6), 616-625.

23. Gossen, B. D., & McDonald, M. R. (2020). New technologies could enhance natural biological control and disease management and reduce reliance on synthetic pesticides. *Canadian journal of plant pathology*, *42*(1), 30-40.

24. Kamilaris, A., Kartakoullis, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2017). A review on the practice of big data analysis in agriculture. *Computers and electronics in agriculture*, *143*, 23-37.

25. Li, N., & Mahalik, N. P. (2019). A big data and cloud computing specification, standards and architecture: agricultural and food informatics. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology*, *14*(2), 159-174.

26. Crandall, S. G., Gold, K. M., Jiménez-Gasco, M. D. M., Filgueiras, C. C., & Willett, D. S. (2020). A multi-omics approach to solving problems in plant disease ecology. *PLoS One*, *15*(9), e0237975.

27. Murmu, S., Sinha, D., Chaurasia, H., Sharma, S., Das, R., Jha, G. K., & Archak, S. (2024). A review of artificial intelligence-assisted omics techniques in plant defense: current trends and future directions. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *15*, 1292054.

28. Benyam, A. A., Soma, T., & Fraser, E. (2021). Digital agricultural technologies for food loss and waste prevention and reduction: Global trends, adoption opportunities and barriers. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *323*, 129099.

29. Heeb, L., Jenner, E., & Cock, M. J. (2019). Climate-smart pest management: building resilience of farms and landscapes to changing pest threats. *Journal of pest science*, *92*(3), 951-969.

30. Mir, S. A., Qasim, M., Arfat, Y., Mubarak, T., Bhat, Z. A., Bhat, J. A., ... & Sofi, T. A. (2015). Decision Support Systems in a global agricultural perspective-a comprehensive review.

31. Gil, Y., Garijo, D., Khider, D., Knoblock, C. A., Ratnakar, V., Osorio, M., ... & Shu, L. (2021). Artificial intelligence for modeling complex systems: taming the complexity of expert models to improve decision making. *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems*, *11*(2), 1-49.

32. Borrero, J. D., & Mariscal, J. (2022). A case study of a digital data platform for the agricultural sector: A valuable decision support system for small farmers. *Agriculture*, *12*(6), 767.

33. Mir, S. A., & Quadri, S. M. K. (2009). Decision support systems: concepts, progress and issues–A review. *Climate Change, Intercropping, Pest Control and Beneficial Microorganisms: Climate change, intercropping, pest control and beneficial microorganisms*, 373-399.

34. Dous, Z. M., Sewisy, A. A., & Seddik, M. F. (2018). Decision making techniques and tools based on decision support system. *IJERA*, *8*, 9-16.

35. Churi, A. J., Mlozi, M. R., Mahoo, H., Tumbo, S. D., & Casmir, R. (2013). A decision support system for enhancing crop productivity of smallholder farmers in semi-arid agriculture. *International Journal of Information*, *3*(8).

36. Salcedo-Sanz, S., Ghamisi, P., Piles, M., Werner, M., Cuadra, L., Moreno-Martínez, A., ... & Camps-Valls, G. (2020). Machine learning information fusion in Earth observation: A comprehensive review of methods, applications and data sources. *Information Fusion*, *63*, 256-272.

37. Yesin, V., Karpinski, M., Yesina, M., Vilihura, V., & Warwas, K. (2021). Ensuring data integrity in databases with the universal basis of relations. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(18), 8781.

38. Lopez-Jimenez, J., Vande Wouwer, A., & Quijano, N. (2022). Dynamic modeling of crop–soil systems to design monitoring and automatic irrigation processes: A review with worked examples. *Water*, *14*(6), 889.

39. Filip, F. G. (2008). Decision support and control for large-scale complex systems. *Annual reviews in Control*, *32*(1), 61-70.

40. Power, D. J., & Sharda, R. (2007). Model-driven decision support systems: Concepts and research directions. *Decision support systems*, *43*(3), 1044-1061.

41. Paul, K., Chatterjee, S. S., Pai, P., Varshney, A., Juikar, S., Prasad, V., ... & Dasgupta, S. (2022). Viable smart sensors and their application in data driven agriculture. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *198*, 107096.

42. Sishodia, R. P., Ray, R. L., & Singh, S. K. (2020). Applications of remote sensing in precision agriculture: A review. *Remote sensing*, *12*(19), 3136.

43. Olatinwo, R., & Hoogenboom, G. (2014). Weather-based pest forecasting for efficient crop protection. In *Integrated pest management* (pp. 59-78). Academic Press.

44. Hosack, B., Hall, D., Paradice, D., & Courtney, J. F. (2012). A look toward the future: decision support systems research is alive and well. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, *13*(5), 3.

45. Gebresenbet, G., Bosona, T., Patterson, D., Persson, H., Fischer, B., Mandaluniz, N., ... & Nasirahmadi, A. (2023). A concept for application of integrated digital technologies to enhance future smart agricultural systems. *Smart agricultural technology*, *5*, 100255.

46. Yang, K. W., Chapman, S., Carpenter, N., Hammer, G., McLean, G., Zheng, B., ... & Tuinstra, M. R. (2021). Integrating crop growth models with remote sensing for predicting biomass yield of sorghum. *in silico Plants*, *3*(1), diab001.

47. Strand, J. F. (2000). Some agrometeorological aspects of pest and disease management for the 21st century. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *103*(1-2), 73-82.

48. Elavarasan, D., Vincent, D. R., Sharma, V., Zomaya, A. Y., & Srinivasan, K. (2018). Forecasting yield by integrating agrarian factors and machine learning models: A survey. *Computers and electronics in agriculture*, *155*, 257-282.

49. Cherry, K. A., Shepherd, M., Withers, P. J. A., & Mooney, S. J. (2008). Assessing the effectiveness of actions to mitigate nutrient loss from agriculture: A review of methods. *Science of the total environment*, *406*(1-2), 1-23.

50. Rasheed, N., Khan, S. A., Hassan, A., & Safdar, S. (2021). A decision support framework for national crop production planning. *IEEE Access*, *9*, 133402-133415.

51. Kelleher, J. D., Mac Namee, B., & D'arcy, A. (2020). *Fundamentals of machine learning for predictive data analytics: algorithms, worked examples, and case studies*. MIT press.

52. Paschapur, A., Subbanna, A. R. N. S., Gupta, J., Parihar, M., & Mishra, K. K. (2022). Insect pest scenario in Uttarakhand Himalayas, India, under changing climatic conditions. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, *66*(7), 1445-1460.

53. Sharma, H. C. (2014). Climate change effects on insects: implications for crop protection and food security. *Journal of crop improvement*, *28*(2), 229-259.

54. Gill, H. K., & Garg, H. (2014). Pesticide: environmental impacts and management strategies. *Pesticides-toxic aspects*, *8*(187), 10-5772.

55. Pretty, J., & Pervez Bharucha, Z. (2015). Integrated pest management for sustainable intensification of agriculture in Asia and Africa. *Insects*, *6*(1), 152-182.

56. Shah, F. M., & Razaq, M. (2020). From agriculture to sustainable agriculture: Prospects for improving pest management in industrial revolution 4.0. *Handbook of Smart Materials, Technologies, and Devices: Applications of Industry 4.0*, 1-18.

57. Lamichhane, J. R., Aubertot, J. N., Begg, G., Birch, A. N. E., Boonekamp, P., Dachbrodt-Saaydeh, S., ... & Messéan, A. (2016). Networking of integrated pest management: A powerful approach to address common challenges in agriculture. *Crop protection*, *89*, 139-151.

58. Barbedo, J. G. A. (2020). Detecting and classifying pests in crops using proximal images and machine learning: A review. *Ai*, *1*(2), 312-328.

59. Lima, M. C. F., de Almeida Leandro, M. E. D., Valero, C., Coronel, L. C. P., & Bazzo, C. O. G. (2020). Automatic detection and monitoring of insect pests—A review. *Agriculture*, *10*(5), 161.

60. He, J., Chen, K., Pan, X., Zhai, J., & Lin, X. (2023). Advanced biosensing technologies for monitoring of agriculture pests and diseases: A review. *Journal of Semiconductors*, *44*(2), 023104.

61. Demirel, M., & Kumral, N. A. (2021). Artificial intelligence in integrated pest management. In *Artificial intelligence and IoT-based technologies for sustainable farming and smart agriculture* (pp. 289-313). IGI Global.

62. Neta, A., Gafni, R., Elias, H., Bar-Shmuel, N., Shaltiel-Harpaz, L., Morin, E., & Morin, S. (2021). Decision support for pest management: Using field data for optimizing temperature-dependent population dynamics models. *Ecological Modelling*, *440*, 109402.

63. Tonle, F. B., Niassy, S., Ndadji, M. M., Tchendji, M. T., Nzeukou, A., Mudereri, B. T., ... & Tonnang, H. E. (2024). A road map for developing novel decision support system (DSS) for disseminating integrated pest management (IPM) technologies. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *217*, 108526.

64. Estay, S. A., Lima, M., & Labra, F. A. (2009). Predicting insect pest status under climate change scenarios: combining experimental data and population dynamics modelling. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, *133*(7), 491-499.

65. Colbach, N. (2010). Modelling cropping system effects on crop pest dynamics: how to compromise between process analysis and decision aid. *Plant Science*, *179*(1-2), 1-13.

66. Gilioli, G., Pasquali, S., & Marchesini, E. (2016). A modelling framework for pest population dynamics and management: An application to the grape berry moth. *Ecological modelling*, *320*, 348-357.

67. Zalucki, M. P., & Furlong, M. J. (2011, March). Predicting outbreaks of a migratory pest: an analysis of DBM distribution and abundance revisited. In *Proceedings of The Sixth International Workshop on Management of Diamondback Moth and Other Crucifer Pests* (pp. 8-14).

68. Palma, G. R., Godoy, W. A., Engel, E., Lau, D., Galvan, E., Mason, O., ... & Moral, R. A. (2023). Pattern-based prediction of population outbreaks. *Ecological Informatics*, *77*, 102220.

69. Lello, F., Dida, M., Mkiramweni, M., Matiko, J., Akol, R., Nsabagwa, M., & Katumba, A. (2023). Fruit fly automatic detection and monitoring techniques: A review. *Smart Agricultural Technology*, 100294.

70. Bhoi, S. K., Jena, K. K., Panda, S. K., Long, H. V., Kumar, R., Subbulakshmi, P., & Jebreen, H. B. (2021). An Internet of Things assisted Unmanned Aerial Vehicle based artificial intelligence model for rice pest detection. *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, *80*, 103607.

71. Fuentes, S., Tongson, E., Unnithan, R. R., & Gonzalez Viejo, C. (2021). Early detection of aphid infestation and insect-plant interaction assessment in wheat using a low-cost electronic nose (E-nose), near-infrared spectroscopy and machine learning modeling. *Sensors*, *21*(17), 5948.

72. Gupta, S. K., & Thind, T. S. (2018). *Disease problems in vegetable production*. Scientific Publishers.

73. Thind, T. S. (2017). Role of fungicides in crop health management: prospects and challenges. *Developments in Fungal Biology and Applied Mycology*, 433-447.

74. Singh, V., Sharma, N., & Singh, S. (2020). A review of imaging techniques for plant disease detection. *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture*, *4*, 229-242.

75. Zhang, N., Yang, G., Pan, Y., Yang, X., Chen, L., & Zhao, C. (2020). A review of advanced technologies and development for hyperspectral-based plant disease detection in the past three decades. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(19), 3188.

76. Mohammad-Razdari, A., Rousseau, D., Bakhshipour, A., Taylor, S., Poveda, J., & Kiani, H. (2022). Recent advances in E-monitoring of plant diseases. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, *201*, 113953.

77. Shtienberg, D. (2013). Will decision-support systems be widely used for the management of plant diseases?. *Annual review of phytopathology*, *51*, 1-16.

78. Gent, D. H., Mahaffee, W. F., McRoberts, N., & Pfender, W. F. (2013). The use and role of predictive systems in disease management. *Annual review of phytopathology*, *51*, 267-289.

79. Haq, I. U., Khan, N. A., & Sarwar, M. K. (2022). Predictive Models for Plant Disease Assessment. *Trends in Plant Disease Assessment*, 225-239.

80. Lázaro, E., Makowski, D., & Vicent, A. (2021). Decision support systems halve fungicide use compared to calendar-based strategies without increasing disease risk. *Communications Earth & Environment*, *2*(1), 224.

81. Sarkar, S. K., Das, J., Ehsani, R., & Kumar, V. (2016, May). Towards autonomous phytopathology: Outcomes and challenges of citrus greening disease detection through close-range remote sensing. In *2016 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)* (pp. 5143-5148). IEEE.

82. Lan, Y., Huang, Z., Deng, X., Zhu, Z., Huang, H., Zheng, Z., ... & Tong, Z. (2020). Comparison of machine learning methods for citrus greening detection on UAV multispectral images. *Computers and electronics in agriculture*, *171*, 105234.

83. Yuan, L., Zhang, J., Nie, C., Wei, L., Yang, G., & Wang, J. (2013). Selection of spectral channels for satellite sensors in monitoring yellow rust disease of winter wheat. *Intelligent Automation & Soft Computing*, *19*(4), 501-511.

84. Shafi, U., Mumtaz, R., Shafaq, Z., Zaidi, S. M. H., Kaifi, M. O., Mahmood, Z., & Zaidi, S. A. R. (2022). Wheat rust disease detection techniques: a technical perspective. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection*, *129*(3), 489-504.

85. Carlos, C. C., & Maria do Carmo, M. V. (2022). Novel technologies and Decision Support Systems to optimize pesticide use in vineyards. In *Improving Sustainable Viticulture and Winemaking Practices* (pp. 147-164). Academic Press.

86. Shaikh, T. A., Rasool, T., & Lone, F. R. (2022). Towards leveraging the role of machine learning and artificial intelligence in precision agriculture and smart farming. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *198*, 107119.

87. Chithambarathanu, M., & Jeyakumar, M. K. (2023). Survey on crop pest detection using deep learning and machine learning approaches. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, *82*(27), 42277-42310.

88. Robert, M., Thomas, A., Sekhar, M., Badiger, S., Ruiz, L., Raynal, H., & Bergez, J. E. (2017). Adaptive and dynamic decision-making processes: A conceptual model of production systems on Indian farms. *Agricultural systems*, *157*, 279-291.

89. Xiong, H., Dalhaus, T., Wang, P., & Huang, J. (2020). Blockchain technology for agriculture: applications and rationale. *frontiers in Blockchain*, *3*, 7.

90. Madumidha, S., Ranjani, P. S., Vandhana, U., & Venmuhilan, B. (2019, May). A theoretical implementation: Agriculture-food supply chain management using blockchain technology. In *2019 TEQIP III Sponsored International Conference on Microwave Integrated Circuits, Photonics and Wireless Networks (IMICPW)* (pp. 174-178). IEEE.

91. Farooq, M. S., Riaz, S., Abid, A., Abid, K., & Naeem, M. A. (2019). A Survey on the Role of IoT in Agriculture for the Implementation of Smart Farming. *Ieee Access*, *7*, 156237-156271.

92. Wu, Z., Sun, J., Zhang, Y., Wei, Z., & Chanussot, J. (2021). Recent developments in parallel and distributed computing for remotely sensed big data processing. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, *109*(8), 1282-1305.

93. Soma, T., & Nuckchady, B. (2021). Communicating the benefits and risks of digital agriculture technologies: Perspectives on the future of digital agricultural education and training. *Frontiers in Communication*, *6*, 762201.

94. Runck, B. C., Joglekar, A., Silverstein, K. A., Chan-Kang, C., Pardey, P. G., & Wilgenbusch, J. C. (2022). Digital agriculture platforms: Driving data-enabled agricultural innovation in a world fraught with privacy and security concerns. *Agronomy journal*, *114*(5), 2635-2643.

95. Chaterji, S., DeLay, N., Evans, J., Mosier, N., Engel, B., Buckmaster, D., & Chandra, R. (2020). Artificial intelligence for digital agriculture at scale: Techniques, policies, and challenges. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.09786*.

96. Stephenson, J., Chellew, T., von Köckritz, L., Rose, A., & Dinesh, D. (2021). Digital agriculture to enable adaptation: A supplement to the UNFCCC NAP Technical Guidelines. *CCAFS Working Paper*.

97. Small, I.M., Joseph, L., Fry, W.E., 2015. Development and implementation of the BlightPro decision support system for potato and tomato Late Blight management. Comput. Electron. Agric. 115, 57–65. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2015.05.010.

98. del Águila, I.M., Cañadas, J., Túnez, S., 2015. Decision-making models embedded into a web-based tool for assessing pest infestation risk. Biosyst. Eng. 133, 102–115. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2015.03.006, URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1537511015000483.

99. Sailaja, B., Padmakumari, A. P. K., Krishnaveni, D., shaik, N. M., Nagarjuna Kumar, R., Gayatri, S. and Sankar, G. R. M. 2016. Web based expert system for identifying pests and disease problems of rice crop. In: Roy, A. K. (Ed.), Emerging Technologies of the 21st Century. New India Publishing Agency, pp. 355–64. ISBN:978-93-83305-33-9.

100. https://www.fao.org/e-agriculture/news/plantix (retrieved on March 30, 2024).

101. Giles, K., McCornack, B., Royer, T., Elliott, N., 2017. Incorporating biological control into IPM decision-making. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 20, 84–89. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2017.03.009.

102. Yeow, K.-W., Becker, M., 2019. JIS: Pest population prognosis with escalator boxcar train. In: 2019 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management, IEEM 2019, Macao, Macao, December 15-18, 2019. pp. 381–385. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/IEEM.2018.8607724.

103. Kukar, M., Vračar, P., Košir, D., Pevec, D., & Bosnić, Z. (2019). AgroDSS: A decision support system for agriculture and farming. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *161*, 260-271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.04.001.

104. http://www.crida.in:8080/naip (retrieved on March 30, 2024).

105. Lagos-Ortiz, K., Salas-Zárate, M.d.P., Paredes-Valverde, M.A., García-Díaz, J.A., Valencia-García, R., 2020. AgriEnt: A knowledge-based web platform for managing insect pests of field crops. Appl. Sci. 10 (3), http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/app10031040, URL: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/10/3/1040.

106. Rincon, D., Rivera-Trujillo, H., Borrero-Echeverry, F., 2023. A real-time decision-making tool based on dynamic thresholds for *Phthorimaea absoluta* management in greenhouse tomato. Crop Prot. 167, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2023.106196.

107. Otieno, W., Ochilo, W., Migiro, L., Jenner, W., Kuhlmann, U., 2020. Tools for pest and disease management by stakeholders: a case study on Plantwise. In: The Sustainable Intensification of Smallholder Farming Systems. Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing, pp. 151–173.

108. Tozer, K., James, T., Ferguson, C., Meikle, A., 2017. AgPest — a decision support tool for New Zealand's pastoral industry. In: New Zealand Plant Protection, Vol. 70. p. 327. http://dx.doi.org/10.30843/nzpp.2017.70.99, URL: https://journal.nzpps.org/index.php/nzpp/article/view/99.

