

### **Review Article**

24 September 2024: Received 27 October 2024: Revised 19 November 2024: Accepted 05 December 2024: Available Online

https://aatcc.peerjournals.net/

#### **Open Access**

# Importance of Natural Farming in Integrated Farming System (IFS) Models for Small and Marginal Farmers



## Sneha Kumari<sup>1</sup>, Pankaj Kumar<sup>\*2</sup>, Ashok Pandit<sup>3</sup>, Awdhesh Kumar<sup>4</sup>, Munna Yadav<sup>2</sup> and Rahul Kumar Raushan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, Mandan Bharti Agriculture College (Bihar Agricultural University), Agwanpur, Saharsha, (Bihar), India <sup>2</sup>Department of Entomology, Mandan Bharti Agriculture College (Bihar Agricultural University), Agwanpur, Saharsha, (Bihar), India <sup>3</sup>Department of Agronomy, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Agwanpur, Saharsha, Bihar, India

<sup>4</sup>Department of Statistics, Mathematics and Computer Application, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India

### ABSTRACT

Natural farming, which emphasizes minimal use of external inputs and promotes the utilization of locally available resources, has emerged as a sustainable agricultural practice, particularly suited to the needs of small and marginal farmers. The increasing global demand for sustainable agricultural practices has fueled interest in Integrated Farming Systems (IFS), which integrate diverse components such as crop production, livestock, aquaculture, and agroforestry into a unified system. IFS enhances farm resilience by diversifying production, recycling nutrients, and optimizing resource use efficiency.

This paper reviews the role of natural farming within the IFS framework, highlighting its potential to improve sustainability, economic viability, and resource-use efficiency for smallholder farmers. The study acknowledges key challenges such as limited awareness, lack of institutional support, and initial adoption costs that small and marginal farmers face when transitioning to natural farming practices. Despite these challenges, the review demonstrates how natural farming, when integrated into IFS models, can effectively address these barriers, enhancing farm productivity, ecological health, and farmer livelihoods.

By synthesizing insights from empirical studies and farm trials, this review contributes to the existing body of knowledge by providing evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers. It underscores the need for targeted interventions and scalable models to promote natural farming within IFS, ensuring sustainable agricultural practices that benefit small and marginal farmers.

Keywords: Diversification, farming system, natural farming, resilience and sustainability

#### **1. Introduction**

Small and marginal farmers are pivotal to the agricultural landscape of developing countries, comprising the majority of agricultural producers [2]. These farmers often face significant challenges that hinder their productivity and economic stability. Limited access to essential agricultural inputs, such as fertilizers, seeds, and pesticides, poses a substantial barrier [18]. Additionally, many smallholders struggle with inadequate access to credit, which restricts their ability to invest in their farms and modernize their practices [4]. Market opportunities are frequently limited, resulting in volatile income streams and making it difficult for these farmers to sustain their livelihoods [9].

In response to these challenges, Integrated Farming Systems (IFS) have emerged as a viable and holistic farming model. IFS integrates multiple agricultural enterprises—such as crop cultivation, livestock rearing, aquaculture, and agroforestry—into a single system [8]. This integration allows for optimized resource utilization, improved resilience against market fluctuations and environmental stresses, and enhanced

#### \*Corresponding Author: Pankaj Kumar

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21276/AATCCReview.2024.12.04.568 © 2024 by the authors. The license of AATCC Review. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). overall productivity. By diversifying farm operations, IFS reduces the risk associated with reliance on a single crop or income source, providing smallholders with a buffer against economic shocks [3].

Natural farming aligns seamlessly with the principles of IFS, emphasizing minimal or zero external inputs, the utilization of locally available resources, and the promotion of ecological balance [6]. This approach not only reduces dependency on expensive chemical inputs but also enhances soil health and biodiversity. By leveraging local resources, natural farming practices foster sustainable agricultural methods that are particularly suited for smallholder farmers who often have limited financial resources and access to modern agricultural technologies [12].

The integration of natural farming within the IFS framework has the potential to promote sustainability by enhancing soil fertility, reducing input costs, and diversifying income streams [7]. These factors are crucial for the economic security of small and marginal farmers, who rely on multiple sources of income to stabilize their livelihoods. Furthermore, natural farming contributes to the ecological resilience of agricultural systems, promoting practices that protect the environment and enhance resource conservation [14].

This review paper aims to explore the significance of natural farming within the IFS model, focusing on its multifaceted benefits for smallholder farmers across various agro-climatic regions.

By examining empirical studies and case examples, this paper will illustrate how natural farming can address the unique challenges faced by small and marginal farmers while enhancing their productivity and resilience in an ever-changing agricultural landscape.

# agricultural landscape. Benefits of Natural Farming in Integrated Farming Systems (IFS) Input Cost Reduction 13.5% Biodiversity Improvement 16.2% Income Increase Soil Organic Carbon Increase

References: Lal et al. (2019), Sharma et al. (2021), Sahu et al. (2020), Singh et al. (2022), Kiran et al. (2018)

#### 2. Methodology

A literature review was conducted by searching academic databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, and ScienceDirect for studies on natural farming, IFS models, and smallholder farming systems. The review focused on articles published between 2010 and 2023, emphasizing empirical studies, farm trials, and case studies relevant to small and marginal farmers.

#### Inclusion Criteria:

- Studies or reports that examined the role of natural farming in IFS models.
- Empirical data from farm trials involving small and marginal farmers.
- Papers that addressed both economic and environmental impacts.

#### 3. Challenges Faced by Small and Marginal Farmers

Small and marginal farmers face numerous challenges, including:

- ➡ Limited access to agricultural inputs, such as fertilizers, pesticides, and improved seeds.
- ⇒ Dependency on rain-fed agriculture, leading to vulnerability to climate variability.
- ⇒ Lack of market access and price fluctuations for agricultural produce.
- $\Rightarrow$  Rising costs of production, reducing profit margins.
- ⇒ The natural farming approach, integrated into IFS, offers a viable solution by reducing dependency on costly inputs, enhancing resilience to climate change, and promoting ecological sustainability.

#### 4. The Principles of Natural Farming in the IFS Model

Natural farming in the IFS model revolves around a few core principles, which include:

⇒ Zero external input: Avoidance of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides.

- ⇒ Biodiversity: Promoting polycultures, mixed cropping, and integration of different farming enterprises.
- ⇒ Nutrient recycling: Utilizing animal manure, crop residues, and natural composting systems to improve soil health.
- ➡ Minimal soil disturbance: Reducing tillage to protect soil structure and enhance biodiversity.
- ⇒ Local resources: Using locally available seeds, organic inputs, and indigenous knowledge for farming operations.

The application of these principles within an IFS model result in a more resilient, self-sustaining system that can better withstand environmental challenges while providing a diverse range of outputs.

#### 5. Impact of Natural Farming on Soil Health in IFS A. Soil Fertility and Organic Matter Content

Natural farming practices improve soil health by enhancing organic matter, which leads to improved soil fertility. The integration of livestock in the IFS model supports nutrient recycling, as animal manure is used to replenish soil nutrients without relying on synthetic fertilizers. A study conducted by [1] in Western India compared conventional farming with natural farming integrated into IFS. Over a five-year period, natural farming systems saw a 25% increase in organic matter content and a 30% improvement in soil microbial activity compared to conventional systems.

#### **B. Soil Structure and Water Retention**

Natural farming, with its emphasis on minimal soil disturbance and the use of organic mulches, improves soil structure and increases its water-holding capacity. These benefits are particularly important in regions with erratic rainfall or water scarcity, making IFS more resilient to climatic variations. A farm trial by [20] in Nepal showed that integrating natural farming practices into IFS increased soil water retention by 20%, reduced runoff, and improved drought tolerance.

## 6. Natural Farming: A Pillar of Sustainability for Small Farmers in IFS

#### A. Zero External Input Agriculture

One of the core principles of natural farming is the use of zero external inputs, which significantly reduces the financial burden on small farmers. Natural farming systems use organic composts, farmyard manure, and biological pest control methods, which can be produced on the farm, thereby decreasing the reliance on expensive synthetic fertilizers and pesticides. A study by [7] in Uttar Pradesh, India, showed that smallholder farmers practicing natural farming within an IFS model reduced their input costs by 50% compared to conventional systems. Over a two-year trial, farmers experienced a 20% increase in net income due to reduced input costs and increased yields and also improved soil fertility by a 15% increase in organic matter.

#### B. Enhancing Soil Health and Nutrient Recycling

Small farmers often struggle with declining soil fertility due to continuous monocropping and reliance on chemical inputs. Natural farming within IFS emphasizes nutrient recycling through the integration of livestock, agroforestry, and crop production. Manure from livestock and compost from crop residues naturally enrich the soil, improving long-term productivity. A farm trial by [14] in Odisha, India, demonstrated that natural farming within an IFS model improved soil organic carbon by 25% and increased water-holding capacity by 15%.

These improvements were achieved without the use of chemical fertilizers, benefiting small and marginal farmers who typically have less access to commercial fertilizers.

#### 7. Diversified Income Streams and Risk Management A. Diversification through IFS

Small and marginal farmers are vulnerable to economic shocks caused by crop failures, market price fluctuations, or adverse climatic conditions. The IFS model, when combined with natural farming, helps mitigate these risks by diversifying income sources. Livestock, aquaculture, and agroforestry provide alternative revenue streams, ensuring that farmers do not rely solely on a single crop for income. In a study conducted by [15] in Rajasthan, India, natural farming within IFS models helped smallholder farmers increase their overall farm income by 30% compared to monocropping systems. The integration of dairy farming, vegetable cultivation, and agroforestry reduced income variability and improved household food security by 25% increase in household nutrition diversity and also there was a reduction in income variability was 20%.

A study by [11] on IFS trials in South India showed that natural farming systems with integrated crops and livestock maintained comparable yields to conventional systems while reducing input costs. Over a three-year period, crop yields in natural farming systems were 95% of conventional yields, but input costs were reduced by 50%, leading to higher net profitability.

#### B. Climate Resilience through Natural Farming in IFS

Natural farming practices, which emphasize minimal soil disturbance, crop diversification, and local resource utilization, improve farm resilience to climate change. Small and marginal farmers, who are often reliant on rain-fed agriculture, benefit from the improved water-use efficiency and drought tolerance that come with natural farming and diversified IFS models. A farm trial conducted by [5] in Tamil Nadu showed that small farmers practicing natural farming within an IFS model experienced a 25% reduction in crop loss during drought years. The integration of agroforestry reduced soil erosion (15%), and livestock provided an alternative income (20% of total farm income) source during periods of reduced crop productivity.

## 8. Economic Viability of Natural Farming in IFS for Small Farmers

#### A. Low Input Costs and Increased Profit Margins

For small and marginal farmers, natural farming within IFS models can lead to increased profitability by reducing the reliance on external inputs, such as chemical fertilizers and pesticides. The production of on-farm inputs, such as compost and bio-pesticides, reduces costs, while the diversification of farm enterprises increases overall profitability. A study by [10] in Gujarat, India, found that natural farming practices reduced input costs by 45% for small farmers, while income from IFS increased by 25%. The inclusion of livestock, fruit trees, and vegetable crops in the farming system ensured a steady cash flow throughout the year.

In a farm trial conducted in Rajasthan, [16] found that natural farming practices reduced input costs by 45%, with a 30% increase in net income compared to conventional farming. The inclusion of livestock, along with agroforestry, added further economic resilience to the farming system.

#### **B. Economic Resilience and Market Access**

By adopting natural farming and diversified IFS models, small and marginal farmers are less dependent on fluctuating market prices for individual crops. Multiple revenue streams from livestock, agroforestry, and aquaculture provide economic stability, reducing the impact of price volatility in agricultural markets.

## 9. Environmental Benefits of Natural Farming in IFS for Small Farmers

Natural farming, with its emphasis on ecological balance, contributes to environmental sustainability by reducing chemical inputs, improving biodiversity, and promoting soil and water conservation. This is particularly important for small farmers, who rely on the long-term health of their land for continued productivity. A study by [17] in Uttar Pradesh, India, found that natural farming practices in IFS models reduced pesticide use by 80% and improved biodiversity by 35%. Additionally, water use efficiency improved by 20%, helping farmers manage water scarcity during dry seasons.

A study by [19] on natural farming in the IFS model in Northern India found that IFS farms using natural farming reduced chemical pesticide usage by 100%, fertilizer usage by 70%, and water usage by 20%, contributing to improved environmental sustainability.

## 10. Challenges and Limitations in Adopting Natural Farming in IFS for Small Farmers

Despite its many benefits, the adoption of natural farming within IFS models is not without challenges. Small farmers may face difficulties in accessing the knowledge and training required to implement natural farming techniques. Additionally, initial labor requirements may be high, and the transition from conventional farming practices can take time. A case study by [12] in Andhra Pradesh highlighted that smallholder farmers adopting natural farming in IFS faced challenges related to labor availability and the initial learning curve associated with managing complex systems. [13] also found that small farmers required technical support and capacity building to successfully transition to natural farming. However, once established, the system led to long-term benefits in terms of cost savings and improved productivity.

#### **11.** Conclusion

The integration of natural farming practices within Integrated Farming Systems (IFS) represents a transformative approach for small and marginal farmers, offering a multitude of benefits that can significantly enhance their agricultural productivity and economic viability. Natural farming emphasizes minimal or zero reliance on synthetic inputs and encourages the use of locally available resources. This approach not only reduces input costs but also fosters sustainable agricultural practices, promoting self-sufficiency and resilience among farmers.

One of the most significant advantages of combining natural farming with IFS is the improvement of soil health. Practices such as composting, crop rotation, and the incorporation of organic matter enrich the soil, enhancing its fertility and structure. Healthier soils contribute to increased agricultural productivity, which is vital for small farmers who often operate on limited resources. Additionally, improved soil health enhances water retention capabilities, making farms more resilient to drought and other climate-related challenges. Natural farming also promotes biodiversity within farming systems. By encouraging polycultures and mixed cropping, farmers can cultivate a diverse range of crops and integrate livestock, which enhances ecosystem health and reduces the risk of crop failure due to pests or disease. This diversification is particularly crucial for smallholder farmers, who are vulnerable to market fluctuations and climatic changes. By providing multiple sources of income, diversified farming approaches can stabilize revenue and ensure food security for households. Moreover, economic stability is a critical outcome of adopting natural farming practices within IFS. As smallholders reduce their dependency on expensive chemical inputs, they can achieve higher profit margins, thus improving their overall economic resilience. The combination of reduced input costs and increased productivity allows farmers to reinvest in their operations, enhancing their economic prospects. The establishment of diverse income streams also provides farmers with greater financial security during adverse conditions. Despite these substantial benefits, the transition to natural farming within IFS is not without challenges. Small and marginal farmers often encounter hurdles related to knowledge transfer, technical support, and initial labor requirements. Many may lack access to training and education on natural farming techniques, which can impede their ability to adopt these practices effectively. The initial transition may also demand considerable labor and effort, presenting barriers for those with limited resources. Nevertheless, the long-term benefits of integrating natural farming into IFS for small farmers far outweigh these challenges. The potential for sustainable agriculture that enhances productivity improves resilience to climate change and ensures economic stability presents a valuable strategy for addressing the challenges faced by small and marginal farmers.

In summary, the integration of natural farming into IFS models offers a promising pathway toward sustainable agriculture that not only enhances food security and environmental health but also empowers small and marginal farmers. By fostering resilience, improving soil health, enhancing biodiversity, and diversifying income streams, natural farming provides a comprehensive solution to the pressing challenges confronting smallholders in today's rapidly changing agricultural landscape.

#### 12. Future Scope of the Study

The adoption of natural farming within Integrated Farming System (IFS) models holds significant promise for addressing the challenges faced by small and marginal farmers. Future studies can explore the following areas:

**i. Economic Viability:** In-depth cost-benefit analyses of natural farming within IFS models, comparing it with conventional farming practices across diverse agro-climatic zones.

**ii. Impact on Biodiversity:** Evaluating how natural farming practices influence on-farm biodiversity and ecological balance.

**iii. Technology Integration:** Development and dissemination of affordable technologies that align with natural farming principles, enhancing productivity and sustainability.

**iv. Carbon Sequestration and Climate Resilience:** Quantifying the role of natural farming in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and improving resilience to climate change.

**v. Farmer Training and Adoption:** Assessing the effectiveness of training programs and knowledge-sharing platforms in accelerating the adoption of natural farming.

**vi. Policy Implications:** Identifying policy frameworks and incentives that encourage small and marginal farmers to transition to natural farming within IFS models.

#### 12. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this review paper. All efforts have been made to present unbiased findings and perspectives. The work does not benefit any particular organization or individual financially or otherwise.

#### 13. Acknowledgment

The authors wish to acknowledge the contributions of various stakeholders who made this study possible. We extend our gratitude to:

- Bihar Agricultural University that supported this research, facilitating data collection and analysis and providing communication number (BAU COMMUNICATION NO. 1899/241105)
- Agricultural extension officers and practitioners who provided valuable insights into natural farming and IFS models.
- Farmers who shared their practical experiences and challenges, enriching the content of this review.
- Peer reviewers for their constructive feedback, which enhanced the quality and clarity of this manuscript

#### 14. References

- 1. Bhattacharya, S. and Singh, R. (2018) Soil Health and Productivity in Natural Farming Systems. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture* 22(3):210-225.
- 2. FAO. (2019) *The State of Food and Agriculture: Moving Forward on Food Loss and Waste Reduction*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Ghosh, A. and Kaur R. (2022) Integrated Farming Systems: A Sustainable Approach for Smallholders. *Agricultural Systems* 196: 103225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy. 2021.103225
- Khan, M.A. Shah, F. and Rahman, M. (2021) Access to Credit and Its Impact on Agricultural Production: Evidence from Smallholder Farmers in Pakistan. *Journal of Rural Studies* 88: 105-116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2021.01. 016
- 5. Kiran, R. and Singh, H. (2018) Climate Resilience through Natural Farming in Tamil Nadu. *Journal of Ecological Farming* 19(3):89-101.
- 6. Kumar, S. Singh, J. and Verma, H. (2020) Natural Farming: An Eco-Friendly Approach for Sustainable Agriculture. *Journal* of Cleaner Production 253: 119902. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119902
- 7. Lal, R. and Mehta, P. (2019) Natural Farming and Economic Benefits for Smallholder Farmers in Uttar Pradesh. *Journal* of Agricultural Development 25(3): 145-158.
- 8. Mandal, K. Singh, M. and Gupta, R. (2019) Integrated Farming Systems: An Innovative Approach to Sustainable Agricultural Development. *International Journal of Agricultural Science* 9(1):1-10.
- 9. Mishra, A.K. and Singh, R. (2018) Market Access and Its Impact on Smallholder Farmers' Livelihoods: A Study of Rural India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics* 73(3): 360-374.

- 10. Patel, S. and Joshi, D. (2020) Economic Viability of Natural Farming for Smallholder Farmers. *Journal of Rural Economics* 32(1):56-67.
- 11. Prasad, A. and Gupta V. (2019) Economic Viability of Natural Farming in Integrated Systems. *Agricultural Economics Review* 31(4):289-305.
- 12. Rao, V. and Desai, A. (2022) Challenges in Adopting Natural Farming in IFS. *Journal of Rural Development* 19(1): 101-120.
- 13. Rao, V. and Desai, A. (2023) Challenges in Adopting Natural Farming in IFS for Small Farmers. *Journal of Rural Development* 21(2): 112-130.
- 14. Sahu S, and Patel, A. (2020) Soil Health Improvements in Natural Farming IFS Models. *Sustainable Farming Practices* 2020; 35(2): 123-135.
- 15. Sharma, R. and Kumar V. (2021) Diversification and Economic Resilience in IFS Models. *International Journal of Sustainable Agriculture* 28(4): 178-191.

- 16. Sharma, R. and Mehta, P. (2020) Cost-Benefit Analysis of Natural Farming in IFS Models. *Journal of Agricultural Development* 29(3):78-90.
- 17. Singh, P. and Chauhan, R. (2022) Environmental Benefits of Natural Farming in IFS. *Journal of Agricultural Sustainability* 30(3): 244-261.
- Singh, P. Kumar, A. and Kaur, R. (2020) Assessing the Challenges Faced by Smallholder Farmers in India: A Review. Agricultural Reviews 41(3):182-191.
- 19. Sinha, M. and Singh P. (2021) Environmental Impact of Natural Farming in Integrated Systems. *Ecological Farming Review* 27(4): 300-318.
- 20. Tripathi, P. and Joshi, A. (2020) Water Retention and Soil Fertility in Natural Farming IFS Models. *Sustainable Farming Practices* 30(1): 145-162.