


## Original Research Article

## Open Access

Impact of fertilizer doses and plant growth regulator on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)Naiyar Ali\*<sup>1</sup>, Sanjay Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Akhilesh Sah<sup>2</sup>, Ekhlauque Ahmad<sup>2</sup> and Tajwar Izhar<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, BAU., Ranchi, Jharkhand, India<sup>2</sup>ZRS, Chianki, Palamu, Jharkhand, India<sup>3</sup>Department of LPM, RVC, BAU, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India**ABSTRACT**

The study was conducted during rabi 2017-18 and 2018-19 at Crop Research Farm, Eastern Section of Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi-834006 (Jharkhand) to evaluate the Fertility levels and application of growth regulators on growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) with objective to achieve yield maximization. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications. The treatments consisted of four fertility levels absolute Control; 100% (Recommended Dose of Fertilizer); RDF150:60:40; 150% RDF and 150% RDF+15tFYM/ha in main plot and four growth regulators (Two sprays each of water, CCC (0.2%), Tebuconazole (0.1%); CCC (0.2%)+ Tebuconazole (0.1%). The soil of the experimental field was clay loam in texture, acidic in reaction, low in available nitrogen, phosphorus and medium available potassium. Results revealed that application of 150% RDF+15tFYM led to higher plant height, dry matter accumulation and lodging score of wheat at harvest in both the years. The combined application of (CCC)+Tebuconazole reduced the plant height of wheat by 22.0% and 20.3% over water spray during 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively. The minimum mean lodging score mean 1.0 and 1.5 were recorded with application of CCC and Tebuconazole during 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively. The significantly higher number of spike (368 & 388 m<sup>-2</sup>), spike length (11.6 & 12.40 cm), number of spikelets/spike (21.40 & 22.50) and test weight (39.13 & 40g) were registered with application of 150% RDF+15tFYM during 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively as compared to 100% RDF. The combined spray of CCC+Tebuconazole enhanced the test weight by 5.6% & 3.7% over water spray during both the years 2017-18 and 2018-19, respectively. The significantly maximum grain, straw and biomass yield of wheat were recorded with 150% RDF+15tFYM which were 5.8 & 17.03 %, 16.3 & 32.2%, and 10.82 & 24.7% higher over 100% RDF during both the years 2017-18 and 2018-19, respectively. The grain yield of wheat due to combine application CCC and Tebuconazole was found significantly higher (10.84 and 11.15%) during both the years. There were no differences in straw and biomass yields of wheat due to different growth regulators.

**Keywords:** Integrated Nutrient management, Wheat, FYM, 150% RDF, Lodging, Plant growth regulators and Grain yield.

**INTRODUCTION**

Worldwide, wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is grown in a majority of the countries and finds a significant share in consumption basket. Globally, wheat is the largest cultivated with an estimated area of 220.19 million hectares (mha). In India, during 2018-19 Rabi season, Wheat was cultivated in 29.55mha, constituting 24.35 per cent of the total crop acreage, production 101.20 M tones and an average productivity of 3424 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (1). Jharkhand has an area of just 2.21 lakh ha, a productivity of 18.49qha<sup>-1</sup> (1). The productivity of wheat in Jharkhand is very low as compared to national level. One of the main reasons of this low productivity in addition to restricted irrigation is imbalance use of chemical fertilizers which results in lower yield and also adversely affects the soil health, use of sufficient quantities of organic manure in addition to chemical fertilizers, soil health can be improved. Fertilizers responsive varieties have important role in increasing yield.

Hence it is an urgent need to fine tune the fertilizers and manurial requirement of high yielding varieties of wheat. So, one of the popular varieties HD2967 has been taken in above experiment. A chronic worldwide constraint to sustainable wheat production is lodging that often decreases photosynthetic ability and biomass production, deteriorates seed quality, and creates difficulties to harvest operations (14) Wheat and rice are highly prone to lodging during late vegetative growth and at reproductive stages (12) exaggerating the need for additional research efforts Lodging in cereals can be defined as the permanent displacement of stems from the vertical position, which could result from either plastic failure of the stem base (stem lodging) or failure of the belowground anchorage system. The key reasons responsible for wheat lodging are increase in the weight of mature ears due to water accumulation, occurrence of high-speed winds at grain filling stage, wide use of flat planting and flood irrigation and the lack of acceptable cultivars of wheat that are lodging tolerant at higher nitrogen (N) rates etc. The lodging in wheat can be controlled by using short lodging tolerant cultivars and by applying growth retardant chemicals etc. (11). The semi-dwarf wheat cultivars can limit lodging under moderate levels of inputs, especially fertilizer and irrigation.

\*Corresponding Author: **Md. Naiyar Ali**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21276/AATCCReview.2020.08.02.01>

© 2020 by the authors. The license of AATCC Review. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Lodging resistance of these improved semi dwarf cultivars, resulting from shorter and stiffer straw, is markedly expressed at moderate levels of N fertility. Under certain agronomic conditions, however, even semi dwarf wheat cultivars have been observed to lodge under Indian condition (13). This form of lodging which is most common with well-managed wheat crop occurs near or after flowering and is primarily the result of wind during or soon after irrigation or rainstorms (5). The reduction in grain yield caused by lodging ranged from 7 to 35%, with greatest effect when lodging occurred within the month after anthesis, is most commonly reported under Indian conditions. The yield potential of high yielding genotypes of wheat under irrigated and high input rates could be achieved consistently and efficiently by finding suitable solutions of lodging problem. In this context, the use of growth retardants found to be most effective for managing the problem of lodging (14). Growth retardants are chemical substances that have the potential to alter structural or vital processes inside the plant by modifying hormone balance to increase yield, improve quality or facilitate harvesting through checking lodging especially in cereals (14). Lodging preventers (plant height retardants) are generally antagonistic to gibberellin and act by altering their metabolism (9) and for aforesaid reason they are frequently called anti-gibberellin. The nature and extent of lodging are closely related to height of the stem, which can be modified by application of growth inhibitors. Application of growth inhibitors, like ethephon (2-chloro ethyl phosphonic acid) or CCC (Chlormequat Chloride), was reported to be useful in decreasing plant height and subsequently reducing lodging (8). Therefore, the objective of present study was to determine the effects of different fertility levels and lodging preventers on growth behavior, productivity in sub humid conditions.

## Materials and Methods

### Experimental Site

The experiment was conducted during the rabi seasons of 2017–18 and 2018–19 at the Crop Research Farm, Eastern Section of Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India, under the All India Coordinated Wheat and Barley Improvement Project. The experimental site falls within the North Eastern Plain Zone and receives an average annual rainfall of approximately 1440 mm, with nearly 85% occurring during June–September. The soil was clay loam in texture, acidic in reaction (pH 5.8), low in organic carbon, available nitrogen and phosphorus, and medium in exchangeable potassium.

### Experimental Design and Treatments

The study was laid out in a split-plot design with three replications. Four fertility levels were assigned to main plots:

- Control (no fertilizer)
- 100% Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (RDF: 150:60:40 kg N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>)
- 150% RDF (225:90:60 kg N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>)
- 150% RDF + farmyard manure (FYM) @ 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup>

Sub-plots comprised four plant growth regulator treatments:

- Water spray (control)
- Chlormequat chloride (CCC) @ 0.2%
- Tebuconazole @ 0.1%
- CCC + Tebuconazole (combined spray)

Growth regulators were applied twice, at the first-node stage and at the flag-leaf stage.

## Crop Establishment and Management

The field was prepared by one deep ploughing with a mould board plough followed by two cross harrowings and levelling. Wheat variety HD2967 was sown during the second week of November at a seed rate of 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. As per treatment, well-decomposed FYM @ 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was incorporated into the soil 15 days prior to sowing. One-third of total nitrogen, along with the entire dose of phosphorus and potassium, was applied as basal at sowing. The remaining nitrogen was top-dressed in two equal splits at first and second irrigations. The crop was grown under irrigated conditions with five irrigations applied at critical growth stages. Recommended plant protection measures were adopted as required.

## Observations and Yield Estimation

Growth and yield parameters were recorded following standard procedures from three representative locations within each plot. Harvesting was carried out manually from the net plot area after excluding border rows and 0.5 m from plot margins. The harvested produce was sun-dried, and biological yield was recorded and expressed in quintals per hectare (q ha<sup>-1</sup>). After threshing, grains were cleaned, dried, and weighed to determine grain yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Straw yield was calculated by subtracting grain yield from biological yield and expressed in tonnes per hectare (t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Lodging percentage was calculated using the formula: Lodging was scored with the formula {(% plot area lodged × angle of lodging from vertical position)/90} described by (3).

## Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) appropriate (4) for a split-plot design. Treatment means were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) test at the 5% level of significance (P < 0.05).

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Effect of fertility levels and growth regulators on growth parameters and lodging of wheat

The nutrient management had significant effect on plant height of wheat at harvest. At harvest the crop fertilized with 150% RDF+FYM attained significantly maximum plant height (91.50 & 91.0cm) over control (61.75 & 65.08cm) during both years respectively. However, the crop supplied with 150% RDF produced statistically similar plant height compared to 150% RDF+ FYM during both the years. The nutrient management levels also realized significant effect on dry matter accumulation of wheat (Table 1) at harvest during both the years. At harvest stage, crop fertilized with 150% RDF+FYM recorded significantly the highest dry matter accumulation over control and 100% RDF. However, the crop fertilized with 150%RDF produced statistically similar dry matter compared to 150% RDF+ FYM during both the years. The application of 150% RDF+FYM enhanced the dry matter of the crop with the magnitude of 13.20 and 11.30% at harvest) over 100% RDF during 2017-18 and 2018-19. The maximum lodging score was found due to the application of 150% RDF+FYM during both the years. The major nutrients (NPK) are important constituents for cell division and cell elongation and their optimum availability with integrated use of organic and inorganic nutrient sources led to higher plant growth. Higher availability of these nutrients might improve photosynthetic area of plants that cumulatively contribute to higher dry matter accumulation. The results of study are in accordance with the results of (7).

The combined application of CCC + Tebuconazole resulted in significantly lowest plant height compared to water spray but statistically at par with other growth retardants. The combined application of CCC + Tebuconazole reduced the plant height of wheat with the magnitude of 22.0 and 20.3% as compared to the water spray during both the years, respectively. However, all the growth retardant found statistically at par for dry matter accumulation. Plant height and strength of basal internodes are closely related to lodging resistance (14). Based on the above results, it may be suggested that, the combined application of CCC + Tebuconazole can avoid risk of lodging occurring by altering the plant height. The results of study are valuable for enhancing lodging resistance by reducing plant height of wheat to prevent lodging and improving grain yield and quality.

### Effect of fertility levels and growth retardants on yield attributes of wheat

The data indicated that nutrient management practices had significant effect on yield attributes of wheat (number of effective spike/m<sup>2</sup>, spike length and test weight) of wheat (Table 2). The significantly higher number of spike (368 and 388/m<sup>2</sup>), spike length (11.60 and 12.40 cm), number of grains (36.80 and 34.06/spike) and test weight (39.13 and 40.13g) were registered with 150% RDF+FYM as compared to 100% RDF. Whereas, minimum number of spike (169 and 166/m<sup>2</sup>), spike length (6.50 and 7.20 cm), number of grains (16.9 and 11.94 /spike and test weight (35.12 and 34.53 g) were recorded under control during both years, respectively. However, crop supplied with the 100% RDF and 150% RDF recorded statistically similar results for aforesaid yield attributes compared with 150 RDF+FYM. The optimal and balanced supply of nutrient (macro and micronutrient) from both the sources led to higher growth and development of plants and side by side improve the physical, chemical, biological and hydrological properties of soil which further provide an optimum environment for higher growth and development of plants reflected by higher yield attributes of plants (2).

The number of spike and spike length under different growth retardants were found statistically at par, although highest values were recorded with combined use of CCC +Tebuconazole (Table 2). However, the growth regulators led significant effect on test weight as compared to water spray and the maximum values were recorded with the combined application of CCC +Tebuconazole during both the years. Similar results on wheat with the use of plant growth retardants were also reported by 10). Effect of fertility levels and growth retardants on crop productivity. Present findings revealed that fertility levels had significant effect on wheat productivity (Table 3).The maximum grain and straw yield of wheat were recorded with 150% RDF+ FYM which were 50.87 and 12.26% and 50.31 and 15.92% higher compared to control and 100% RDF, respectively. However, the wheat crop fertilized using 150% RDF was found statistically at par with 150% RDF+ FYM. Similarly, the mean total biomass (grain+straw) was also found to be maximum with application 150% RDF+ FYM. It enhanced the biomass yield of wheat by 50.56 and 14.27 % compared to control and 100% RDF, respectively. Similar to grain and straw yield, the crop fertilized using 150% RDF yielded statistically similar biomass compared to 150% RDF+ FYM. This increment in crop productivity might be result of higher plant growth, dry matter accumulation and yield attributes with the combined application of organic and inorganic compared to when chemical fertilizer applied alone. Similar results were also reported by many other researchers which state that integration of chemical and organic sources led to higher crop productivity (7). Wheat yield (grain, straw and biomass) due to different growth retardant treatments were found statistically at par, although highest values of were recorded with the combined use of CCC and Tebuconazole over others treatments. The magnitudes of increase in mean grain, straw yield and biological yield with the combined spray of CCC and Tebuconazole over water spray were 9.37, 3.57 and 6.24%, respectively. These results are in close agreement with the results of earlier researchers (13) who stated that growth inhibitor significantly reduced plant height and simultaneously produced equal biomass over no application.

Table 1: Effect of fertility levels and plant growth regulators on growth and lodging on wheat

Treatments	Plant height at maturity (cm)		Dry matter accumulation at maturity (gm <sup>-2</sup> )		Lodging scores	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
<b>Nitrogen levels</b>						
1. Absolute control	61.75	65.08	850.50	880.40	0.0	0.0
2. RDF (150:60:40)	87.17	88.92	1450.22	1510.20	0.0	0.0
3. 150% RDF	90.60	90.75	1560.12	1590.40	12.8	15.8
4. 150% RDF+15 t FYM	91.50	91.0	1580.14	1630.80	14.8	16.8
S.Em±	0.98	0.76	22.24	24.82	-	-
CD (P=0.05)	3.41	2.62	54.91	58.82	-	-
<b>Plant growth regulators</b>						
1. water sprays	98.50	97.50	1382.91	1412.12	8.0	9.8
2. CCC	79.17	81.08	1405.04	1420.14	4.4	5.8
3. Tubaconazole	78.33	79.50	1420.50	1480.24	7.8	8.4
2. CC+Tubaconazole	76.83	77.67	1450.20	1510.20	1.0	1.5
S.Em±	1.74	2.28	21.40	23.60	-	-
CD (P=0.05)	5.08	6.65	45.02	48.20	-	-

**Table 2: Effect of fertility levels and plant growth regulators on yield attributes of wheat**

Treatments	Spike m <sup>-2</sup>		Length of spike (cm)		No. of grains spike <sup>-1</sup>		Spike m <sup>-2</sup>		Length of spike (cm)	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
Nitrogen levels										
1. Absolute control	169	166	6.50	7.20	16.9	11.94	13.30	14.60	35.12	34.53
2. RDF (150:60:40)	339	335	10.10	9.98	33.90	32.76	18.60	17.90	38.54	38.13
3. 150% RDF	354	360	11.2	11.30	35.40	33.87	20.50	21.20	38.98	39.11
4. 150% RDF+15 t										
FYM ha-1	368	388	11.6	12.40	36.80	34.06	21.40	22.50	39.13	40.13
S.Em±	7.35	10.76	0.201	0.241	0.98	1.0	0.402	0.512	0.16	0.44
CD (P=0.05)	25.43	37.23	0.880	1.210	3.41	3.44	1.150	1.410	0.54	1.25
Plant growth regulators										
1. water sprays	303	306	10.80	9.80	26.17	26.32	18.90	18.20	37.85	37.72
2. CCC	306	307	10.90	11.80	28.42	28.75	20.10	19.40	37.91	37.88
3. Tubaconazole	308	315	11.50	11.60	28.90	29.76	19.10	18.40	38.05	38.19
2. CCC+Tubaconazole	313	321	12.20	11.52	27.83	27.80	20.18	20.20	39.96	39.11
S.Em±	7.08	9.48	0.208	0.280	0.92	1.34	0.406	0.506	0.77	0.43

**Table 3: Effect of fertility levels and plant growth regulators on yield and Harvest Index of wheat**

Treatments	Yield (t/ha)		Harvest Index		Yield (t/ha)		Harvest Index	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
Nitrogen levels								
1. Absolute control	6.48	6.87	7.82	7.13	14.30	14.0	45.3	48.0
2. RDF (150:60:40)	46.78	43.02	43.32	41.98	90.10	85.0	51.9	50.6
3. 150% RDF	46.20	47.12	47.68	51.88	93.88	99.0	49.2	47.6
4. 150% RDF+15 t	49.48	50.40	50.37	55.6	99.85	106.0	49.5	47.7
FYM ha-1	0.69	0.82	0.70	0.89	1.63	3.68	1.80	1.60
S.Em±	2.38	2.85	2.45	2.80	5.63	12.74	NS	NS
CD (P=0.05)	6.48	6.87	7.82	7.13	14.30	14.0	45.3	48.0
Plant growth regulators								
1. water sprays	34.21	34.97	36.52	40.03	70.73	75.0	48.4	46.6
2. CCC	36.09	37.05	35.71	39.95	71.80	77.0	50.3	48.1
3. Tubaconazole	36.72	37.52	40.99	43.48	77.71	81.0	47.2	46.32
2. CCC+Tubaconazole	37.92	38.87	39.96	42.13	77.88	81.6	48.7	48.0
S.Em±	0.66	0.95	1.60	1.80	1.53	3.38	1.48	1.51
CD (P=0.05)	1.92	2.78	NS	NS	4.45	NS	NS	NS

## CONCLUSION

Application of 150% RDF + FYM significantly improved plant growth, yield attributes, and productivity of wheat, though results were statistically at par with 150% RDF alone. Higher fertility levels increased lodging tendency due to greater plant height and biomass. The combined application of CCC + Tebuconazole effectively reduced plant height and lodging risk without negatively affecting yield. Thus, higher fertility levels (150% RDF) along with CCC + Tebuconazole spray can be recommended for improving wheat productivity and lodging resistance.

## Acknowledgement

The authors express their sincere gratitude to Director of Research, Birsa Agricultural university and Project Director, DWR Karnal for providing the essential facilities and financial support required for this study. I would also like to thank my co-authors for their invaluable support throughout this research and manuscript preparation.

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous. 2019. Agricultural statistics at a glance, Government of India.
- Behera U K, Sharma A R and Pandey H N. 2007. Sustaining productivity of wheat-soybean cropping system through integrated nutrient management practices on the Vertisols of central India. *Plant and Soil*. 297(2):185-99.
- Fischer M, Stapper 1987. Lodging effects on high yielding crops of irrigated semi-dwarf wheat. *Field Crops Research*. 17:245-258.
- Gomez K A and Gomez A A. 1984. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hobbs P R. 2007. Conservation agriculture: what is it and why is it important for future sustainable food production? *Journal of Agricultural Science*. 145(2):127-33.
- Joergensen R G, Mäder P and Fliessbach A. 2010. Long-term effects of organic farming on fungal and bacterial residues in relation to microbial energy metabolism. *Biology and Fertility of Soil*. 46:303-7.
- Manna M C, Ghosh P K and Ganguly T K. 2003. Comparative performance of four sources of enriched phosphocompost and inorganic fertilizer application on yield, uptake of nutrients and biological activity of soil under soybean-wheat rotation. *Food Agriculture and Environment*. 1(2):203-8.
- Niu L Y, Feng S W, Ru Z G, Li G, Zhang Z P and Wang Z W. 2012. Rapid determination of single-stalk and population lodging resistance strengths and an assessment of the stem lodging wind speeds for winter wheat. *Field Crops Research*. 139(1):1-8.

9. Peake A S, Huth N I, Carberry P S, Raine S R and Smith R J. 2014. Quantifying potential yield and lodging-related yield gaps for irrigated spring wheat in sub-tropical Australia. *Field Crops Research*. 158(2):1–14.
10. Rajala A, Peltonen-Sainio P, Onnela M and Jackson M. 2002. Effects of applying stem-shortening plant growth regulators to leaves on root elongation by seedlings of wheat, oat and barley: mediation by ethylene. *Plant Growth Regulation*. 38(1):51–9.
11. Rodrigues O et al. 2003. Redutores de crescimento. Passo Fundo: Embrapa Trigo. 18 p. (Embrapa Trigo. Circular Técnica, 14). Disponível em: [http://www.cnpt.embrapa.br/biblio/ci/p\\_ci14.htm](http://www.cnpt.embrapa.br/biblio/ci/p_ci14.htm). Acesso em: 22 abr. 2006.
12. Shah L, Yahya M, Shah S, Nadeem M, Ali A, Wang J, Riaz M W, Rehman S, Wu W and Khan R M. 2019. Improving lodging resistance: Using wheat and rice as classical examples. *Int. J. Mol. Sci*. 20:4211.
13. Tripathi S C, Sayre K D, Kaul J N and Narang R S. 2003. Growth and morphology of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) culms and their association with lodging: effects of genotypes, N levels and ethephon. *Field Crops Research*. 84:271–290.
14. Zhang Y, Su S, Tabori M, Yu J, Chabot D, Baninasab B et al. 2017. Effect of selected plant growth regulators on yield and stem height of spring wheat in Ontario. *J. Agric. Sci*. 9:30–421.